



Basically, the paragraph 3 of the notification is bone of contention in which condition was added that notification shall not be applicable to the subjudice cases. Learned counsel for the plaintiff in Suit No.2589/2017 submits that yesterday in C.P.No.D-1179/2018 the learned Division Bench of this court was dealing similar controversy relating to the same Notification SRO 41/(I)/2018 and while taking cognizance suspended the operation of paragraph 3 of the same notification. Learned counsel for the plaintiffs as well as learned Additional Attorney General and the officer present in court agreed that these suits may be disposed of subject to final adjudication of C.P.No.D-1179/2018 relating to the outcome of paragraph 3 of the aforesaid notification. Order accordingly. The aforesaid suits are disposed of along with pending applications.

The plaintiffs' counsel submit that they may be allowed to file application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for becoming party in the above mentioned petition or file their separate petition. They are at liberty to act in accordance with law for which no permission of this court is required in these suits.

Judge

ns