

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD

C.P.No.S-92 of 2020

| | |
|------|-------------------------------|
| DATE | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE |
|------|-------------------------------|

1. For orders on office objection
2. For orders on MA- 322/2020
3. For hearing of main case.

04.02.2021.

Mr. Ghulam Asghar Mirbhar, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Wali Muhammad Jamari, A.A.G.
Mr. Muhammad Raheem, advocate for the private
respondent.
==

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that the private respondent has held a "*Jirgah*" thereby had made the life of petitioner miserable. By stating so, he sought for due action against the private respondent.

Learned A.A.G has sought for dismissal of the instant petition by contending that the petitioner has an alternate remedy to exhaust.

I have considered the above arguments and perused the record.

If, it is believed that by an act of the private respondent a cognizable offence has taken place, then the petitioner has a remedy to report the same to police. If, no action is taken

by the police then he may file a Direct Complaint of the incident before the Court having jurisdiction for redressal of his grievance. No case for indulgence by this Court in exercise of its constitutional jurisdiction is made out. Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed.

JUDGE

Ahmed/Pa.