ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, AT KARACHI. Criminal Bail Applications No. 1684/2016 and 108/2017

Date Order with Signature of Judge

For hearing of bail application.

27.03.2017.

Mr. Nasir Rizwan, Advocate alongwith applicant.

Mr. Shamshad Ali Qureshi, Advocate for complainant.

Mr. Abdullah Rajput, APG.

By this common order, I intend to dispose of both above listed bail applications, through which applicant/accused Iqrar Ali Jatoi s/o. Abdul Qadir Jatoi has sought pre-arrest bail in Crimes No. 171/2016 and 18/2017, both registered at P.S. Steel Town, Karachi, under Section 489-F, as the same are outcome of same transaction. His earlier bail application bearing No. 1329/2016, arising out of in Crime No. 171 of 2016 was heard and dismissed by the learned Sessions Judge, Malir Karachi, vide order dated 10.12.2016, whereafter he approached this Court and was granted interim pre-arrest bail in the said crime by this Court, vide order dated 22.11.2016, while in Crime No. 18/2017 the applicant directly approached to this Court and was granted interim pre-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 25.01.2017, now he seeks confirmation of his bail in both the aforementioned crimes.

2. Briefly stated facts of the case are that, the complainant Ranjhan s/o. Haji Ali Murad Jatoi lodged the first F.I.R. stating therein that he handed over Rs.50,00,000/- to applicant/accused for arranging job to his son and nephew in PIA; however, on his failure to arrange job the complainant filed Direct Complaint before the Court of Sessions Judge, Malir Karachi where accused was called and he handed over four cheques of MCB Samama Branch, Karachi in favour of the complainant, out of which one cheque bearing No. 2440810 amounting to Rs.15,00,000/- was deposited by the complainant in his account; however, the same was dishonoured on

presentation, whereafter first F.I.R. bearing Crime No. 171 of 2016 was lodged against accused. Thereafter, the complainant deposited second cheque bearing No. 2440811 amounting to Rs.5,00,000/- in his account; however, the same was also dishonoured on presentation; therefore, second F.I.R. bearing Crime No. 18 of 2017 was lodged against the accused.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant/accused as well as complainant submit that the complainant and accused have entered into a compromise in Summary Suit bearing No. 01 of 2017 pending adjudication before the learned District Judge, Malir Karachi; therefore, the complainant has no objection for the confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail granted to the accused. The complainant, who is also present in person, affirms this position. Accordingly, the interim pre-arrest bails granted to accused vide orders dated 22.11.2016 and 25.01.2017 are hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

Both the above mentioned bail applications stand disposed of.

JUDGE

Athar Zai