

HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT AT
HYDERABAD

C.P No.D-791 of 2020

(*Glulam Qadir & Another versus Province of Sindh & Others*)

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

Present:-

Mr. Justice Abdul Maalik Gaddi
Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon

1. For orders on M.A No.3552/2020
2. For orders on office objection(s)
3. For orders on M.A No.3553/2020
4. For hearing of main case

26.08.2020

Mr. Anwar shahzad Khattak, advocate for petitioners

ABDUL MAALIK GADDI:-J

1. Urgent application is disposed of.

2to4. Through this constitutional petition the main grievance agitated by the petitioners is that to declare that act of respondents No.1 to 4 of appointing the respondent No.5 (*Muhammad Nawaz*) as Chowkidar at a Tube-well is/was illegal, malafide, null and avoid and against the law, as according to them, the land where the said Tube-well is installed, pertains to petitioner No.1, hence his son (*petitioner No.2*) is to be appointed instead of respondent No.5.

From the perusal of memo of petition as well as annexures attached therewith it appears that in fact petitioners have challenged the Notification dated 24th February, 2020, whereby, the services of respondent No.5 alongwith others, as contingent paid or work charged employee in Left Bank Outfall Drainage (LBOD) Division, Mirpurkhas have been regularized by the competent authority upon the orders passed by this Court in C.Ps No.D-388 of 2010 and 454 of 2010.

Since, through this petition, the petitioners, as observed above, have challenged the regularization of respondent No.5, which was done by the competent authority upon the orders of this Court, therefore, learned counsel for the petitioners was asked by us to show any locus standi of the petitioners to file this petition, however, he has no satisfactory answer with him. Accordingly, this petition being bereft of any merits stands dismissed in limine alongwith listed applications. However, it is made clear that the petitioners would be at liberty to

(2)

C P No D-791 of 2020

approach the competent forum/authority for redressal of their grievance, if any, if so desired and on filing of such proceedings before the competent forum/authority, same shall be decided as per law.


26.8.2020
JUDGE


JUDGE