## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

## Civil Revision Application No.127 of 2019

-----

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE(S) OF JUDGE(S)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Before: Mr. Justice Nazar Akbar

Applicant : Mst. Amber Umair Khan through

Attorney Umair Ahmed Khan.

Versus

Respondents : Yousuf Ali Khan & others (Nemo).

Date of hearing : **20.02.2020** 

Date of Judgment : **28.02.2020** 

## **JUDGEMENT**

NAZAR AKBAR, J. This Revision Application is directed against the order dated 18.10.2019 whereby Civil Misc. Appeal No.09 of 2019 filed by the applicant was dismissed by the VIth Addl. District Judge, Central Karachi and the order dated 19.03.2019 passed on application under Order IX Rule 9 CPC in Civil Suit No.562/2014 by VIth Senior Civil Judge, Karachi Central was maintained.

2. Briefly stated the facts of the case are that applicant filed Suit No.562/2014 for declaration. cancellation of gift deed, administration and partition. Thereafter on **04.8.2018** the applicant moved an application for under Order 23 Rule 3 CPC for withdrawal of the suit and on 16.08.2018 suit was dismissed as withdrawn. It appears that subsequently applicant filed application under Order IX Rule 9 CPC after period of more than four months for restoration of suit, which was also dismissed by order dated 19.3.2019, therefore, applicant filed Civil Misc. Appeal which was also dismissed being time barred by order dated 18.10.2019. The applicant has

[2]

challenged the said order of appellate Court here in this Revision

Application.

3. I have perused the record as well as written arguments filed on

behalf of the applicant.

4. It is contended by applicant that the impugned orders passed

by the two Courts below are illegal, unlawful, unwarranted, flimsy,

fanciful, whimsical, capricious as well as bad in law as such are not

sustainable and liable to be set aside being null & void ab-initio. He

further contended that the impugned orders were passed without

hearing the parties and against the principle of natural justice. The

perusal of record shows that the trial Court has rightly dismissed an

application under Order IX Rule 9 CPC for restoration of suit which

was withdrawn by the plaintiff. Therefore, even if appeal could have

been filed in time, it could have no merit. Be that as it may, once

delay has occasioned in filing of an appeal, the appellant is required

to explain delay of each day for condonation of time for filing the

appeal.

5. The appeal preferred by the applicant was hopelessly time

barred. Learned Appellate Court has comprehensively dealt with the

question of limitation and could not find justification for entertaining

time barred appeal even in the application for condonation of delay

no justifiable ground was mentioned at all. Therefore, impugned

order cannot be interfered by this Court.

6. In view of the above, this revision application is dismissed

alongwith listed applications.

Karachi

Dated:28.02.2020s

JUDGE