

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

**CP No.S-512 of 2016**  
**CP No.S-513 of 2016**

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

1. For order on CMA No.2338/2016 (Exp)
2. For hearing of Main case
3. For hearing of CMA No.2339/2016 (stay) \_\_\_\_\_

**19.10.2018**

None present for the petitioner.

Syed Masroof Ahmed Alvi, advocate for the Respondents.

-----

These petitions are directed against the order dated **08.01.2016** passed by learned Rent Controller on application under Section 20 of the SRPO, 1979. The petitioners have prayed for inspection of the premises in question. An order on application under Section 20 of the SRPO, 1979 cannot be treated as final order and no appeal lies against such order. However, when law does not provide an appeal, it does not mean that parties can claim constitutional right to challenge such order. Such petitions are generally filed to delay the proceedings in trial Court for decisions on merit between the parties. Even otherwise any order even if not challenged an objection to the said order can be taken by the aggrieved party in case such order has affected the final judgment in the proceeding in which the said order has been passed. In view of this legal remedy against the order available to the petitioner against the impugned order, since the petitioner is not remedy less, their petition is not maintainable and grievance of the petitioner against the impugned order may be taken care of by the petitioners at the time of appeal before Appellate Court after final order.

With the above observation, these petitions are dismissed.

JUDGE

SM