

**ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI**

C.P. No.D-2946 of 2019

| DATE | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S) |
|------|----------------------------------|
|------|----------------------------------|

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar
Mr. Justice Agha Faisal

Zakwan Steel & Another.....Petitioners

Versus

The Federation of Pakistan & Others.....Respondents

1. For hearing of CMA No.15845/2019.
2. For hearing of CMA No.13238/2019.
3. For hearing of main case.

27.05.2019

Mr. Talha Makhdoom, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ahmed Sheraz, advocate files his Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent No.2.
Mr. Zafarullah, advocate holding brief for Mr. Khalid Rajpar, advocate for the respondent No.4.
Mr. Ishrat Zahid Alvi, Assistant Attorney General.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar, State Counsel.

Muhammad Ali Mazhar, J.: Learned counsel for the petitioners invited out attention to CMA No.15845/2019 in which he states that address of the petitioner No.1 was wrongly typed. Whereas, the name of the petitioner No.2 is H.I. Traders but it was wrongly typed as H.I. Steel. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 and D.A.G. have no objection to this correction. Learned counsel for the petitioners has already filed an amended title with proper correction which is taken on record and office is directed to put it at proper place.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the appeals are pending before the Anti-Dumping Appellate Tribunal against final determination dated 13.06.2018, however, the appeals have not been fixed, therefore, the petitioners have approached this court. The learned counsel for the respondent No.2 submits that the appeals of the petitioner will be fixed within a week before the Anti-Dumping Appellate Tribunal, whereas the counsel for the petitioner submits that according to his information the appeals will be fixed after 15th June. The counsel

for the respondent No.2 has given categorical statement that in case the appeal of the petitioner is allowed by the Anti-Dumping Appellate Tribunal then the National Tariff Commission shall refund back the anti-dumping duties paid by the petitioners.

3. In view of the statement, the petition is disposed of with directions to the learned Anti-Dumping Appellate Tribunal to decide the pending appeals of the petitioner preferably within one month.

JUDGE

JUDGE

