

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI  
Cr. Bail Application No.929 of 2018

-----  
DATE                      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE(S) OF JUDGE(S)  
-----

1. For hearing of bail application
2. For orders on M.A. No.2198/2018.

**23.04.2019**

Mr. Allah Bakhsh Narejo, advocate a/w applicants.  
Ms. Rahat Ehsan, Addl.P.G. Sindh.

-.-.-.-.-

1. As far as bail before arrest application of Muhammad Arif Shah Applicant No.1 is concerned it has become infructuous since as stated by the learned counsel for the applicant that during the pendency of this Bail Before Arrest despite interim order passed by this Court, applicant No.1 was arrested before furnishing surety in this case and he has subsequently been granted bail after arrest by the trial Court, therefore, he does not press this bail application for applicant No.1, same stands dismissed as withdrawn.

2. As far as the case of applicant No.2 is concerned namely Mst. Babra Arif, she is on bail before arrest under same order and surety of Rs.1,00,000/- was furnished on 15.3.2019. Learned Addl. P.G confirms the above position as grant of bail of applicant No.1.

3. The allegation of so called robbery is a consequence of transaction of sale purchase of a car. There is no eye witness except interested complainant party, when the place of incident is inside the house of the applicant/accused. This is sufficient to admit a woman who is otherwise supposed to be granted bail even in a heinous crime on account of being

woman in terms of Section 497 of Cr.P.C., interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant Mst. Babra Arif is confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

4. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant/accused on merits.

JUDGE

SM