



applicant is nominated in the FIR. He further contended that the applicant came in contact with the complainant and obtained her photographs and after editing he sent back the same to the complainant and blackmail her and demanded huge money from her. He argued that on pointation of complainant applicant/accused was arrested and from his possession two mobile phones and hard disk were recovered and since the offence is heinous in nature, therefore, he prayed for dismissal of instant bail application.

5. I have considered the arguments advanced by the counsel for the parties and examined the contents of FIR and challan. I have noted from the record as follows:-

- i) The alleged offence does not fall within prohibitory clause of Section 497 Cr.P.C and is punishable for three years;
- ii) There is no previous criminal record of the applicant/accused;
- iii) The case has already been challaned and the applicant/accused is no more required for further investigation.

6. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the case for bail is made out, consequently the instant bail application is allowed. Applicant Muhammad Umar Ghorri son of Pervez Ghorri is admitted to bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of **Rs.50,000/-** (Rupees Fifty Thousand) and P.R bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of trial Court.

7. Needless, to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and should not influence trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant/accused.

JUDGE