

Order Sheet  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD**

CP No. S- 537 of 2018

Aziz Ahmed ----- Petitioner

Versus

Province of Sindh  
and others ----- Respondents

Date of order : 22.02.2019

Mr. Noor Ahmed Memon, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for Applicant/Intervener

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Addl.A.G. Along with SIP Mirzo Khan for  
SSP Hyderabad

**ORDER**

**ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON, J.** - Basically, the petitioner is seeking directions to the respondents not to dispossess him from the subject plot, without due process of law, with further assertion that he shall not be subjected to any harassment.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner makes categorical statement that he shall be satisfied if the directions may be issued to the official Respondents not to cause any harassment to him.

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, learned counsel representing Applicant/intervener has taken plea that the petitioner under the garb of the order, if any, passed by this court can misuse the same.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Addl.A.G. has referred the comments filed on behalf of official Respondents and argued that the instant matter is of sensitive nature, relating to security issue as the controversy is running between the petitioner with Anjuman Imamia Sindh over construction of plaza titled as Aziza Heights; that such property of the petitioner is situated adjacent to Moula Ali Kadam Gah; that the issue is purely of civil nature. At this stage, I asked from learned A.A.G. that the petitioner only seeks assurance from the official Respondents that they shall not cause harassment to him. Learned A.A.G. replied on behalf of the official respondents that it has never been the intention of the

official Respondents to cause any sort of harassment to the petitioner nor they intend to do so, however they are duty bound to act strictly in accordance with law.

I again asked from the learned counsel representing the intervener, who has not yet been made party in the proceedings, with regard to the assertion of learned counsel for the petitioner as discussed supra, he states at the bar that the Applicant/ intervener has never caused any harassment to the petitioner nor he intends to do so in future and seeks dismissal of instant petition.

In the light of above facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons alluded herein above, I am satisfied with the statement of learned A.A.G. and dispose of this petition with directions to the official Respondents to act strictly in accordance with law.

JUDGE