

**ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD.**

C.P.No.S- 1992 of 2018

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For orders on office objection.
2. For hearing of main case.

10.01.2019.

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for petitioner alongwith petitioner.

Mr. Behzad Ali Umrani, Advocate for respondent No.3 alongwith respondent No.3.

Mr. Nasrullah Khaskheli, State Counsel alongwith PI Syed Manzoor Ali on behalf of SSP Hyderabad and ASI Shabran PS A-Section, Hyderabad.

=

Counsel for respondent No.3 files a statement alongwith certain documents, whereas State Counsel files comments on behalf of respondent No.4, taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was married to respondent No.3 however, due to some differences, she has now moved to her mother`s house and living with her in a house where no other male members reside. It is alleged that respondent No.3 is harassing the petitioner and her family members and making their lives miserable by means of using his position in Police Department. Incidentally, the petitioner also works in Police Department. It is also stated by the counsel for petitioner that petitioner has filed

a suit for dissolution of marriage which is pending adjudication and she has no interest in joining the respondent No.3.

To the contrary, learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that respondent No.3 is interested to reconcile with the petitioner and has never harassed the petitioner rather they both had gone to perform Umrah on 20.03.2018, he also denies that the said respondent has ever misused his authority or caused any harassment.

In the circumstances, when the allegations and counter allegations have been made, the petitioner and the respondent No.3 are directed not to talk / meet each other by any means including their mobile phones as well as not to cause any harassment to each other either on their own or through any other person. The area police through SHO PS B-Section, Latifabad is directed to provide due protection to the petitioner as and when a need thereof is indicated by her or her family members. Also the official respondents otherwise, are directed to stand neutral in this family dispute. The respondent No.2 is further directed to ensure protection to the petitioner and her family, as and when needed.

The instant petition is accordingly disposed of and the parties to ensure that no harassment be caused to each other through any means and if there are any litigation pending between them now or instituted later on, the same should be proceeded without any influence or causing any impediment by any of their misadventure.

JUDGE

Tufail