ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI CP No.S-1276 of 2018

Date	Order with signature of Judge

- 1. For hearing of CMA No.7439/2018 (U/o.39 Rule 4)
- 2. For hearing of CMA No.5361/2018 (Stay)
- 3. For hearing of Main case

21.12.2018

Mr. Abdul Hamid Yousufi, advocate for petitioners. Mr. Fasih-uz-Zaman Abbasi, advocate for Respondents.

.-.-.-.

NAZAR AKBAR, J. The petitioner through this constitutional petition has challenged the order dated 30.04.2018 passed by XIIth Additional District Judge, South Karachi in FRA No.42/2018, whereby appeal filed by petitioner against the order dated 06.12.2017 passed by 1st Sr. Civil Judge & Rent Controller, South Karachi in Rent Case No.669/2013 was dismissed and the Petitioner was directed to hand over the vacant and peaceful possession of the demised premises to Respondent No.3 within 60 days.

2. Briefly stated the facts of the case are that Respondent No.3 is owner / landlord and petitioner is his tenant in respect of Flat No.7, 2nd Floor, situated in Reizk Afza Manzil previously known as Moosa Manzil / Sadiq Manzil / Irfan Manzil (hereinafter referred the demised flat) at the monthly rate of Rs.1000/-. Respondent No.3 in the rent application averred that the petitioner paid rent upto December, 2012 and such rent receipt was issued to the petitioner and since January, 2013 the petitioner is in arrears of rent as well as KW&SB charges at the rate of **Rs.150/-** per month and has committed willful and deliberate default in payment of rent. Legal notice dated 04.3.2013 was sent to the petitioner through lawyer demanding arrears of rent from January, 2013

which was served but he neither paid arrears of rent nor deliver vacant possession of the demised flat. Ultimately Respondent No.3 filed the rent case.

- 3. The Petitioner/opponent filed written statement and denied relationship of landlord and tenant between the parties. It was averred that Respondent No.3 is not owner of the demised flat and notice under **Section 18** of the SRPO, 1979 was not served upon him. It is further averred by the petitioner that Respondent No.3 has received rent from him and so also from other tenants by practicing fraud. The petitioner averred that all the tenants of building including the Petitioner on mis-representation and fraud of Respondent No.3 had started paying rent to him form January, 2010 and thereafter the petitioner and other tenant started depositing rent in Court in the name of Respondent No.3 in MRC. The petitioner averred that since Respondent No.3 failed to prove his ownership as such no default is committed by the petitioner.
- 4. The trial Court after recording evidence and hearing learned counsel for the parties, allowed Rent Application filed by Respondent No.3. The Petitioner filed **FRA No.42/2018** before the appellate Court which was dismissed by order dated **30.04.2018**. Both the orders are impugned herein this constitution petition.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.
- 6. Learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to read the impugned order on the point of default. Learned Courts below have found him guilty of default in payment of rent both on facts and law. The appellate Court affirmed the findings of default of the Rent Controller in the following terms:-

The respondent being landlord filed his affidavit-inevidence and on oath claimed that the appellant has failed to pay rent since January, 2013 and so also water and sewerage charges.

On the other hand the appellant filed his affidavitin-evidence was cross examined and during cross examination he admitted that till December, 2012 he has paid rent to Respondent. He admitted that due to dispute on enhancement in January, 2013 he started depositing rent in MRC. He denied that before depositing rent in MRC he did not sent rent through money order. He admitted that he deposited rent in MRC till June 2014. He denied that he stopped depositing rent in favour of Respondent in MRC from July, 2014. Vol. deposed the Respondent was not owner. He admitted that he has not produced receipt for deposit of rent in MRC from July, 2014 onward. He admitted that he has deposited arrears of rent in January, 2017 for the period July 2014 to July 2015 in favor of Respondent in compliance with order dated 07.12.2016 passed under Section 16(1) SRPO, 1979. He admitted that he did not pay water and sewerage charges to the Respondent in the year 2013 as well. He admitted that from August 2015 till today they are not paying rent to the Respondent nor depositing rent in MRC. Vol. deposed that he is owner since August, 2015.

Scrutiny of above aspects and admission on the part of the appellant that the appellant deposited rent from January, 2013 in MRC without sending money order to the Respondent. Such deposit of rent in MRC without first offering personally and then through money order itself is not valid deposit of rent, moreover the appellant has admitted that he deposited rent in MRC till July 2014 and then stopped to deposit and thereafter, he deposited rent in rent case from August, 2015 till today vide order dated 07.12.2016 passed on an application under Section 16(1) SRPO, 1979.

- 7. Learned counsel for the petitioner was unable to dispute the factual position. Once the Petitioner has started depositing rent in the name of Respondent No.3 in MRC, though without refusal from landlord to accept rent and without sending money order, the Petitioner was estopped from alleging that Respondent was not owner.
- 8. In view of the above facts and circumstances no case is made out to interfere with the concurrent findings of facts by the Courts

below in exercise of constitutional jurisdiction, therefore, petition is dismissed along with pending applications. The Petitioner is directed to vacate the premises within **30 days** from today. In case he failed to do so, the Executing Court will issue writ of possession without notice to the petitioner through police aid and permission to break open the locks. Copy of this order be sent to the learned Rent Controller forthwith.

JUDGE

SM