

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI  
Suit No. 1461 of 2015

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

For order on CMA No.3132/2018

01.03.2018

Mirza Aadil BAig advocate for the plaintiffs.  
Plaintiff No.1 Muhammad Yousuf, also attorney of plaintiff No. 4, 5, 6 & 7.  
Noman Muhammad attorney of plaintiff No. 2 and 3.  
Muhammad Sohail, Abdul Haseeb, Sana, Sharmeen and Aisha, legal heirs of defendant No.1 are also present.

-----

Plaintiff No.1 for self and as attorney of plaintiff No. 4, 5, 6 and 7 is present, whereas, Noman Muhammad attorney of plaintiff No. 2 and 3 is also present. They are being represented by Mirza Aadil Baig advocate. Muhammad Sohail, Abdul Haseeb, Sana, Sharmeen and Aisha, legal heirs of deceased Muhammad Hanif (defendant No.1) are also present with their counsel. Ms. Ismat Mehdi advocate who has filed vakalatnama for legal heirs of defendant No.1 today in court. This is a suit for declaration, permanent injunction/mandatory injunction, cancellation of documents, partition, rendition of accounts and recovery of amounts in respect of suit property bearing Survey No.20, Sheet No. W.O.2, measuring 786 Sq. yards, Wadhumaal Odharam Quarters, Karachi. The plaintiffs and the legal heirs of deceased defendant No.1 have entered into a compromise. At page No. 69 a copy of indenture of lease dated 09.06.2008 is available which was executed in the name of deceased Muhammad Hanif. According to the schedule of the property,

the lease was executed for Godown No.B-11 on the ground floor, measuring 161.20 sq. fts. with an open area about 990 sq. fts. in the building constructed on the above plot of land. Now, the parties have filed compromise application and settled some modalities to resolve their dispute amicably. The compromise application has been signed by the plaintiff No.1 and others through their attorney, whereas, the legal heirs of deceased defendant No.1 have also signed the compromise application and they are also present in court. The parties have acknowledged the terms and conditions mentioned in the compromise application to be true and correct and they had confirmed the condition of compromise. In view of the above situation, the suit is decreed in terms of the compromise. Office is directed to attach copy of the compromise application with the decree and also mark CMA number on the compromise application. The suit against the defendant No.2 is dismissed as withdrawn.

JUDGE

Aadil Arab

