

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Bail Appln: Nos.S-466 of 2017.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For hearing.

28.08.2017.

Mr. Muhammad Ali Rind, Advocate for applicant.
Mr. Shahid Ahmed Shaikh, D.P.G for the State.

===

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties at some length, learned counsel for applicant submits that he would be satisfied and shall not press this bail application, if appropriate directions are given to the trial Court to at least examine the complainant within a period of fifteen (15) days after receipt of this order, whereafter the applicant may be allowed to file fresh bail application before the trial Court.

Mr. Shahid Ahmed Shaikh, D.P.G for the State has not opposed the above proposition.

In view of above, this bail application is disposed of with direction to the trial Court to at least examine the complainant within a period of fifteen (15) days after receipt of this order, where after the applicant would be at liberty to file fresh bail application before the trial Court on fresh ground, if he so desired and trial Court shall then decide the same in accordance with law.

JUDGE.

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P. No.S-693 of 2015.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For katcha peshi.

09.10.2015.

Mr. Shamasuddin Khushk, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Jagdesh R. Mullani, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Mr. Irum Ahmed D.D.P.P. for the State.

===

Learned counsel for petitioner seeks some time to affix the required Court fee stamps with this petition. He is allowed to do so, but this exercise should be done only today.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that challan in this case has already been submitted, therefore, trial Court may be directed to proceed with the case in accordance with law.

In view of above, trial Court is directed to proceed with the case without influence of pendency of this petition, as also no stay is operating in this matter. Office is directed to sent copy of this order to the learned trial Court for information.

To come up on 19.10.2015.

JUDGE.

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P. No.S-319 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For hearing of MA-4045/15.

09.10.2015.

Mr. Jagdesh R. Mullani, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Addl. A.G.

===

It is contended by the learned counsel for Petitioner that vide order dated 24.11.2014 this petition was disposed of and consequently on delivering the possession of the subject premises of this petition, the application being MA-4045/15 has become infructuous, which is disposed of . It is further contended by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that an amount of Rs.25,000/- is lying/deposited with the Additional Registrar of this Court, the same may be given to him.

In this regard, it would be appropriate that in the first instance let notice be issued to the respondent No.1 for 19.10.2015.

JUDGE.

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Bail Appln: No.S-648 of 2015.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For orders on MA-7527/15.

05.10.2015.

Syed Mouazam Ali Shah, Advocate for surety.
Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P. for the State.

===

It appears from the record that accused Ghulam Mustafa was granted protective bail by this Court vide order dated 03.6.2015 in crime No.71 of 2015 under sections 322,304-A, PPC registered at P.S.A-Section, Tando Allahyar subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- and PR bond in the like amount, to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court, consequently surety Manzoor Ali Sirewal stood surety for the accused by depositing a Saving Certificate worth Rs. One hundred thousand. It also appears from the record that in compliance of this Court's order, the accused was appeared before the trial Court within stipulated period from where he succeeded in obtaining pre-arrest bail.

Nothing is pending before this Court against the accused.

Learned D.D.P.P. present in Court in other cases waived notice and raised no objection if present application is allowed.

I, accordingly allow this application with direction to the office to return the surety document/saving certificate to the applicant after proper verification and identification.

JUDGE.

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Misc: Appln: No.S-284 of 2013.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

05.10.2015.

Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P. for the State.

===

Mr. Mumtaz Ahmed Lashari, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for applicant, who is said to be busy before another bench of this Court, requests for a date.

Inspector Habib-ur-Rehman Lashari, SHO P.S. Taluka Nawabshah, ASI Mehmood Akhtar P.S. Gupchani and ASI/I.O. Bahadur Ali, who are respondents No.2 and 3 in this case. Respondent No.2 SHO P.S. Taluka Nawabshah namely Habib-ur-Rehman is present in person requests for some time to file his reply. Time granted.

Respondent No.3 has filed his written reply, which is taken on record, copy whereof supplied to the counsel representing the applicant.

Adjourned to 19.10.2015.

JUDGE.

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Acq. Appeal No.S-13 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

05.10.2015.

Mr. Muhammad Shafi Kashmiri, Advocate for appellant.
Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P. for the State.

===

Mr. Mumtaz Alam Leghari, Advocate submits that he is not an Advocate in this case on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 2, but due to over sight his name is appearing in the cause list as well as on the file cover.

Record shows that Mr. Ghulam Murtaza Leghari, Advocate already filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. Office is directed to delete the name of Mr. Mumtaz Alam Leghari, Advocate from the file cover and issue notice of intimation in this case to Mr. Ghulam Murtaza Leghari, Advocate for the Respondents No.1 and 2 for the next date.

Adjourned to 19.10.2015.

JUDGE.

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Misc: Appln: No.S-127 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

05.10.2015.

Mr. Muhammad Sachal Awan, Advocate for applicant.
Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P. for the State along with Bachal Dal,
SHO P.S. Pangrio.

===

SHO P.S Pangrio is present and files his reply of show-cause notice stating therein that he has taken over the charge as SHO on 22.9.2015. He submits that he would serve the notice personally upon the respondent No.4. Reply of show-cause is taken on record showing sufficient reasons for vacating the same. Accordingly, show-cause notice issued to the SHO P.S. Pangrio is vacated with direction to him to serve the notice of this Cr. Misc: Application upon the respondent No.4 and submit his report before this Court in person. Office is directed to repeat notice to the respondent No.4 as already ordered.

To come up on 26.10.2015.

JUDGE.

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Rev. Appln: No.S-194 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

<u>05.10.2015.</u>	<p>Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P. Mr. Wali Muhammad Khoso, Advocate for respondent No.7.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">===</p> <p>Mr. Mumtaz Ahmed Lashari, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Ayaz Hussain Tunio, Advocate for applicant, who is said to be busy before another bench of this Court, requests for a date. Time granted.</p> <p>Issue notice to the remaining respondents as already ordered for 26.10.2015.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">JUDGE.</p>
--------------------	--

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Rev. Appln: No.S-236 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

05.10.2015.

Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P along with ASI Nusrat Ali on behalf of
SHO P.S. Market, Hyderabad.

Ms. Rehana Nazeer Gujjar, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 6.

===

Mr. Mumtaz Ahmed Lashari, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Ayaz
Hussain Tunio, Advocate for applicant, who is said to be busy before
another bench of this Court, requests for a date. Request is allowed.

Remaining respondents have not yet been served. Office is directed
to repeat notice to them as already ordered for to 26.10.2015.

JUDGE.

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Misc: Appln: No.S-256 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

05.10.2015.

Mr. Abdul Rashid Mughal, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P.
Mr. Muhammad Sachal R. Awan, Advocate for respondents No.1,3
and 7.

===

SHO P.S. Pangrio submits a report that notice to Respondents No.2,4
and 6 was served upon their brother namely Muhammad Yar. Report is
taken on the record. Service has not been properly made upon the said
Respondents. Office is directed to repeat notice to the respondents No.2,4
and 6 as already ordered for 26.10.2015.

JUDGE.

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Misc: Appln: No.S-535 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

05.10.2015.

Mr. Irum Ahmed, D.D.P.P. for the State a/w Inspector Shahabuddin
Abbasi Reader to SSP, Mirpurkhas.

Mr. Muhammad Hashim Leghari, Advocate for respondent No.8.

===

Mr. Mumtaz Ahmed Lashari, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Ayaz
Hussain Tunio, Advocate for applicants, who is said to be busy before
another bench of this Court, requests for a date. Request is allowed.
Adjourned to 2.11.2015.

JUDGE.

g

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. Misc: Appln: No.S-428 of 2015.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	For orders on MA- 7765/15. For order on office objection. For hearing.
<u>02.10.2015.</u>	

Mr. Raja Hans Raj, Advocate for applicant.
===

1. Urgency granted.
2. Deferred for the time being.
3. It is stated by the learned counsel for the applicant that the alleged detenues, who are the wife and children and near relatives of the applicant are in illegal confinement of respondents No.4 to 7, who are not allowing them to meet and see the applicant.

Let notice be issued to the Respondents and A.P.G. for 13.10.2015.
In the meantime, respondent No.3 is directed to recover and produce the alleged detenues before this Court on the next date of hearing.

JUDGE.

g

