

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
C.P. No.D-2649 of 2018

| | |
|------|-------------------------------|
| Date | Order with signature of Judge |
|------|-------------------------------|

- 1.For order on Misc. No. 22968/2018
- 2.For order on office objection
- 3.For hearing of Misc. No.19412/2018
- 4.For hearing of Misc. No.11459/2018
- 5.For hearing of main case.

06.08.2018

Mr. Fida Hussain advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Asif Hussain advocate for the respondent No. 2 & 3.
Mr. Ghulam Hussain advocate for the respondent No.4
Mr. Abdul Salam Memon advocate for the respondent No.5.
Mr. Wasif Riaz advocate for the respondent No.8
Ms. Rukhsana Mehnaz Durrani, State Counsel.

Petitioner in person has filed statement through Mr. Fida Hussain advocate. The statement is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner duly verified by Identity Section Management System (ISMS) Department of this court. Along with statement a letter of Health Department, Government of Sindh dated 30.07.2018 issued by Saadat Ahmed, Section Officer is attached. This letter shows that in view of the pending petition, the Health Department has changed the institute/college and on issuance of this letter the petitioner is satisfied, therefore, he does not want to press this petition.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the respondent No.8 submits that in terms of this letter his client Rameesha Tahir has been shifted from Muzaffarabad to Mirpur which is unjustified. Though he admits that the marks of Rameesha Tahir is below than two candidates Samia Rabbani and Danish

but he submits that the prospectus does not define any criteria for the admission on the basis of marks. In pursuance of the order dated 08.06.2018 the Health Department has already issued letter on 30.07.2018, therefore, the petitioner does not want to press this petition. The State Counsel as well as counsel for the respondent No. 4 & 5 argued that the Health Department, Government of Sindh has considered the matter strictly in accordance with law and the respondent No.8 has no right to challenge. However, in view of the statement filed by the petitioner, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn, however, if the respondent No.8 has any grievance she may institute appropriate proceedings in accordance with the law.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Aadil Arab

