

ORDER SHEET
HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

C.P. No.D-4009 of 2018

Date	Order with signature of Judge
-------------	--------------------------------------

Present

Mr. Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar.
Mr. Justice Omar Sial.

Suhail Akhtar AbbasiPetitioner

Versus

Election Commission of Pakistan & another.....Respondents

Date of hearing 21.06.2018

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Karara advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Memona Nasreen advocate for the Election Commission of Pakistan.

Mr. Shaikh Liaqat Hussain, DAG.

Ms. Rukhsana Mehnaz Durrani, State Counsel.

Zaheer Ahmed Sehto, District Election Commissioner, Kashmore/Member Delimitation Committee.

Muhammad Ali Mazhar, J: This petition has been brought to challenge the order dated 26.04.2018 passed by the Election Commission of Pakistan, whereby, 29 representations regarding delimitation of constituencies in respect of district Nausheroferoze were decided through a consolidated order. The petitioner had also filed his representation and his name is appearing at Sr. No. 6 of the impugned order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner referred to paragraph No. 4(viii) of the memo of petition. He argued that the preliminary delimitation of PS-34 failed to maintain the territorial unity of constituency between Tappa Luthi and

Tappa Mithiani as between these two Tappas PS-35 has been inserted.

3. The representative of E.C.P. has demonstrated the actual position through map of district Nausheroferoze. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that between Tappa Luthi and Tappa Mithiani constituency PS-35 has been created which is misconceived assertion. The map placed before us by the E.C.P's representative in presence of learned counsel for the petitioner unequivocally shows that in PS-35 Nausheroferoze-III Tappa Luthi and Tappa Mithiani both are included. No other issue has been raised. We are satisfied that while including the aforesaid two Tappas in PS-35, the Delimitation Committee as well as the E.C.P taken due care not to disturb the population of geographically compact areas, existing boundaries of administrative units, facilities of communication and public convenience. No issue raised that the delimitation was not started from the Northern end of district and failed to proceed clockwise in zigzag manner so that population among the constituencies shall remain as close as may be practicable to the quota. At this stage no interference is warranted. We dismissed the petition vide our short order dated 21.06.2018. Above are the reasons for our short order.

Karachi.

Dated: 26.06.2018

JUDGE

JUDGE