

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

R.A. No.290 of 2010.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

1. For orders on CMA-1007 of 2018.
2. For katcha peshi.
3. For orders on CMA-1008 of 2018.

10.04.2018.

None present.

=

Zulfiqar Ahmad Khan, J.- This revision has been filed against the concurrent findings of the Courts below. The respondent through the suit filed, challenged detection charges as well as excessive bill. The suit was decreed as prayed against which, an appeal was filed where the appellate Court maintained the findings of the trial Court after framing of a single point for determination as to “whether the judgment and decree of the trial Court need any interference”. A review of the judgments impugned shows that they have been rendered after discussing the evidence and documents available on record at length and no illegality is found therein. The fact is that the applicants failed to prove by adducing evidence that the previous electricity meter was tampered by the respondent. On that account, the learned trial Court held that the detection charges were illegal as well as the excess charges claimed by the applicants / WAPDA were also held as unjustified. The appellate Court also carefully gone into the controversy by a well reasoned judgment, refrained from interfering into the findings given by the trial Court.

2. To me, no cogent reasons have been brought by the applicants wherein review of this Court could have been mandated as the judgments of the Courts below do not want any interference, as neither any illegality is seen nor there appears any infirmity or error on the surface. The revision is accordingly dismissed alongwith pending applications.

JUDGE

S