ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI C.P.No.D-784 of 2006

Date Order with signature of Judge

<u>Present:</u> Mr. Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar Mr. Justice Abdul Maalik Gaddi

Mir Muhammad Baloch.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Federation of Pakistan & another.....Respondents

20.02.2017

Ms. Zubaida K. Jamali, Advocate for Petitioners. Mr. Suhail H.K. Rana, Advocate for Civil Aviation Authority a/w Ms. Naureen S. Rana, Advocate. Mr. Asim Mansoor Khan, D.A.G.

Muhammad Ali Mazhar, J: All the petitioners in this petition have prayed that directions may be issued to the respondents for regularization of their services. In paragraph 1 of the petition the names of all the petitioners are mentioned with their designations and dates of appointment which is not disputed. Today, the learned counsel for the Civil Aviation Authority Mr. Suhail H.K. Rana, Advocate has filed a statement alongwith the letter dated 14.02.2017 communicated to him by the Senior Deputy Director Legal, HR Service Matters that all the petitioners have already been regularized as Supporting Staff w.e.f. 01.06.2009 in compliance of the Supreme Court order. One more letter dated 23.11.2011 is attached with the reference of this constitution petition and again it is clarified that all the daily wagers (petitioners) have been regularized

w.e.f. 01.06.2009. The copy of the statement alongwith documents has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioners who has gone through the statement, however, she has shown slight apprehension that in the letter attached with this statement the words "Supporting Staff" has been used which is creating some ambiguity in her mind as to whether the regularization of the petitioners has been made on the same designations or not. On this, the counsel for the Civil Aviation Authority pointed out that the names are already mentioned in paragraph 1 of the petition and at the time of their induction as daily wagers they were supporting staff. Since a clear statement has been made for regularization of the petitioners, therefore, this petition is disposed of without any anomaly to the posts or the designations which are admittedly mentioned in the paragraph 1 of the petition which unequivocally shows that the petitioners have been regularized on the same designations. Pending application is also disposed of.

Judge

Judge

<u>Asif</u>