

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD
C.P.NO.S-1653 of 2017

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
-------------	---

1. For orders on office objection.
2. For katcha peshi.

09.10.2017

Mr. Nisar Ahmed Durani, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Shoukat Ali Kaka, Advocate for respondent No.7.

Mr. Imtiaz Ali Mahar, State Counsel a/w Inspector Sohail Sarwar o/b of SSP Hyderabad, SIP Mirzo Khan of P.S Tandojam o/b DSP and SHO Tandojam, Inspector Manzoor Ali o/b of DIGP Hyderabad and SIP Mumtaz Ali Brohi SHO P.S Pinyari.

=

With reference to the notice of the present case, learned A.A.G files parawise comments/statements on behalf of respondents No.2, 4, 5 and 6, whereas, Mr. Shoukat Ali Kaka, Advocate files power on behalf of respondent No.7, which are taken on record. Copies of the parawise comments have been supplied to the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

It has been stated in the comments filed by the official respondents that the allegations leveled in the petition against the official respondents are incorrect and hence denied. It is also stated that the official respondents have neither harassed the petitioner nor they intend to do so in future. Furthermore, the dispute between the petitioner and the respondents No.6 and 7 is of civil nature. Respondent No.6 present in person states that though he has relationship with respondent No.7, however, he never harassed nor extended threats to the petitioner.

Learned Counsel for respondent No.7 has categorically stated that neither respondent No.7 has harassed the petitioner nor he intend to harass him in future.

In view of the statements filed by the official respondents as well as statements of respondents No.6 and 7, this petition is being disposed of with direction to the private respondents not to cause any harassment to the petitioner and the official respondents to perform their duties and functions strictly in accordance with law without patronizing any group or individual. If the parties have any grievance against each other, they are at liberty to seek their remedy before the appropriate forum but not by taking law in their hands.

JUDGE

Shahid