## **ORDER SHEET**

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P. No.S-1735 of 2016

## DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection
- 2. For orders on MA-15333/2016
- 3. For katcha peshi.
- 4. For hearing of MA-15334/2016

## 11.11.2016.

Mr. Inam Ali Malik, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G a/w SIP Rafique Ahmed Rind SHO P.S 60-Mail District Shaheed Benazirabad.

=

Today, Mr. Muhammad Jamil Ahmed, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.3, 4 & 5, who are also present in person and submits that neither in the past nor in future they have or will cause any harassment to the petitioner. However, the said respondents, if have any grievance against the petitioner, are at liberty to seek redressal of their grievances through due process of law.

Learned A.A.G has also filed his parawise comments and while denying the allegations of causing harassment on the part of the official respondents, has further undertaken that police officials have always remained impartial and will provide protection to the petitioner strictly in accordance with law, if they are approached by the petitioner with such a request. With these observations, the present petition stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**