

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD
C.P. No.S-1432 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For katcha peshi.
3. For hearing of MA-1552/2016

24.10.2016.

Mr. Mir Naeem Talpur, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Irfan Ahmed Qureshi, Advocate for intervener.
Mr. Sher Muhammad Leghari, State Counsel

=

It has been informed by the petitioner's Counsel that on account of some new development in the matter and particularly since certain documents are filed by the intervener/Chairman Town Committee Jhudo, District Mirpurkhas with his application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, the petitioner has preferred a C.P. No.D-2793/2016, in which the present intervener has also been impleaded as one of the respondents. As far as the present petition is concerned, it has already been observed in the last order dated 07.10.2016 that the respondents will not cause any harassment to the petitioner. In this regard, the learned State Counsel has also referred to his comments filed on behalf of respondent No.2-SSP, Mirpurkhas that no harassment was ever caused to the petitioner. With regard to other issues, relation to the award of contract of Cattle Pri is already *sub judice* in a subsequent petition mentioned here-in-above, therefore, in view of the observations contained in the last order dated 07.10.2016 and today reiterated by the intervener/respondent's Counsel, the instant petition has served its purpose and consequently is disposed of with the direction to all the respondents that no harassment should be caused to the petitioner and it is expected that official respondents will conduct themselves in accordance with law. It is clarified that respondents are at liberty to seek remedy by adopting due process of law.

JUDGE