ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1843 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection
- 2. For Katcha Peshi.

07.12.2016.

Mr. Irfan Ali Rahoojo,, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ashfaque Nabi Kazi, Assistant A.G alongwith ASI Imtiaz Ahmed, Incharge Shapur Jahania.

-.-.-.

Today, private respondents are present in person. According to private respondent Khan Muhammad, a part of the land in question was sold to him by the petitioner and the petitioner does not even reside there.

The ASI Imtiaz Ahmed, Incharge P.P, Shahpur Jahania, states that a police picket has also been established there and they will ensure that no law and order situation is created by miscreant. He further undertakes that police will ensure that petitioner is not harassed by any individual including the private respondents.

This petition is disposed of in view of the above observations and with the direction to the police officials that no harassment should be caused to the petitioner. However, it is clarified that if private respondents have any genuine grievance against the petitioner, the same can only be redressed through due process of law, similarly, if the petitioner has some *bona fide* issue with regard to his cultivation, the same can be addressed by proceeding before the proper fora including the Revenue Authorities, which will take the decision in the matter expeditiously and strictly in accordance with law.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1850 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For orders on office objection

2. For Katcha Peshi.

3. For hearing of C.M.A 16523/16

07.12.2016.

Ms. Shabana Noreen Khan, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ashfaque Nabi Kazi, Assistant A.G.

-.-.-.

All the private respondents are present in person, who are presented by

their Counsel Mr. Abdul Shakoor Keerio.

Respondents have categorically stated that they have not caused any

harassment to the petitioners. However, their grievance is that their daughter has

contracted marriage with son of petitioner. If the private respondents have any

grievance, they can seek redressal of the same in accordance with law but they

cannot cause any type of harassment to the petitioner.

This petition is disposed of alongwith listed application in view of the

above observations and with the directions that police/official respondents will

ensure that no law and order situation is created amongst the parties and no one

should be allowed to take law in their hands. The respondents including private

respondents are restrained from causing any harassment to the petitioner and if

the private respondents have any genuine grievance against the petitioner they

are at liberty to seek its redressal by invoking due process of law and not

otherwise.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

Cr. Reference No.15 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For orders on reference received from District and Sessions Judge, Mirpurkhas.

07.12.2016.

Syed Meeral Shah D.P.G. for the State.

-.-.-.

A Reference has been sent by the learned 2nd Additional Sessions Judge, Mirpurkhas, in which he has disclosed that Mr. Waseemuddin Abid Shaikh, Advocate, who is the Counsel of accused in Sessions Case No. 258 of 2014 (State Versus Syed Mardan Ali Shah and others), is also the Counsel of the learned Judge in some family matter and, therefore, the learned Judge has requested that the above referred Sessions Case may be heard by some other learned Judge.

In view of this matter, it is ordered that either the above mentioned criminal case, that is, Sessions Case No. 258 of 2014 be heard by the concerned Sessions Judge, Mirpurkhas, or he may assign it to some other learned Judge other than the learned 2nd Additional Sessions Judge, Mirpurkhas, for deciding the same in accordance with law.

The reference is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

Ali Haider