ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

CP No. S- 768 of 2017

DATED	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
14.6.2017	

1. For orders on office objection
2. For katcha peshi

Mr. Muhammad Jameel Khan, Advocate for petitioners
Mr. Ashfaq Nabi Qazi, Asstt: A.G.

Mr. Muhammad Sharif Siyal, Advocate files power on behalf of respondent No.8, which is taken on record.

It is the case of the petitioners that private respondents and official respondents 4 and 5 forcibly entered into the house of petitioner No.1 and took away her house hold articles therefrom. Counsel for the petitioners states that respondents 4 and 5, who are police officials, are still in possession of house of petitioner No.1. Comments have been filed by respondents 2 to 4. In his comments respondent No.4 has denied the allegations levelled against him by the petitioners. I have noticed that the petitioners have not alleged anywhere in the petition that respondents 4 and 5 took over possession of the house of petitioner No.1 or they are still in possession thereof. In the absence of specific allegation to this effect, such contention of the petitioners cannot be accepted. Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioner No.1 will avail her remedy before the competent forum in accordance with law for restoration of possession of her house.

Learned counsel for respondent No.8 undertakes that the said respondent shall not harass the petitioners. Likewise learned A.A.G. undertakes on behalf of official respondents that they shall conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law.

Accordingly this petition stands disposed of in terms of above undertakings leaving the petitioners at liberty to avail their remedy before the competent forum in accordance with law.

JUDGE

karar_hussain-memon/PS*