IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1628 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection
- 2. For Katcha Peshi.

03.11.2016.

Mr. Zainuddin Baloch, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed Gujjar, Assistant A.G alongwith ASI Akhtar Hussain Solangi, on behalf of SHO P.S Sakrand, SIP Ghulam Sarwar SHO P.S Mari Jalbani and ASI Abdul Ghafoor P.S Sakrand.

Mr. Aslam Baig Laghari, Advocate for respondents No.6,8 & 9.

-.-.-.

Matter was called in the morning when seeing the facts of the case, respondent No.6 Arbab s/o Gul Sher, who was present in Court alongwith his Counsel, was called upon to produce Mst. Ramsheedan alias Aail Khatoon. In this regard the case file an earlier petition filed by the said lady Mst. Ramsheedan alias Aail Khatoon was also called. Statement of Mst. Ramsheedan alias Aail Khatoon has been recorded in which she has categorically mentioned that she was not kidnapped by respondent No.6 Arbab s/o Gul Sher, who is her husband. She was also given a choice to be sent to Darul Aman for some time so that dispute, if any, can be settled in the intervening period, but she refused to go to Darul Aman and insisted that she should be sent with her husband Arbab s/o Gul Sher (respondent No.6).

Mr. Aslam Baig Laghari the learned Counsel representing private respondents has filed his vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.6, 8 & 9, and also produced a photocopy of statement of Mst. Ramsheedan alias Aail Khatoon recorded under Section 164 of Cr.P.C before the concerned Magistrate.

At this juncture, Mr. Zainuddin Baloch learned Counsel for petitioner states that still petitioner and his family is facing impending threats from private respondents and police is not providing protection to Petitioner. However, learned A.A.G refutes this claim and submits that police officials, who are impleaded as respondents, are performing their functions in an impartial manner.

Be that as it may, in view of the above submission and peculiar facts of the case, it is ordered that respondents shall not cause any harassment to the petitioner and his family members. Similarly, police officials will provide protection to the petitioner if they are approached with such a request. Police officials are further directed to be dutiful and remain impartial and should discharge their duties in accordance with law by giving equal treatment to every citizen including petitioner and private respondents. With these observations and directions, instant petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 220 of 2010

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on C.M.A 752/15
- 2. For Katcha Peshi.
- 3. For hearing of C.M.A 733/10

02.11.2016.

Mr. Shamsuddin Memon, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan, Advocate for Respondents No.1,3,5, & 6. .

Mr. Amjad Ali Sahito, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-.-.-.

Today, Mr. Shamsuddin Memon, learned Counsel for applicants has filed a statement in compliance of the order of 02.11.2016 and Mr. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan, Counsel for respondents No.1,3,5 & 6 is going to file his part of documents on which he is relying during course of day.

On account of 3rd November today, by consent the matter is adjourned to 10.11.2016 at 08:30 a.m. Office is directed to list this matter at serial No.1 in the cause list on that day.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 27 of 2013

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For Katcha Peshi.
- 2. For hearing of C.M.A 271/13

03.11.2016.

Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwa, Advocate for the applicant. Syed Ali Anwar Shah, Advocate for respondents.

-.-.-.

Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwa learned Counsel for applicant today files an statement where under he has filed a copy of notice for withdrawal of his vakalatnama sent to applicant, as, according to him, he is not in contract with his client. In these circumstances, office is directed to issue direct notices to the applicant through all modes except publication as well as through the concerned Civil Judge for next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 22.11.2016.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S-1187 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection
- 2. For orders on M.A 10187/16
- 3. For Katcha Peshi.

03.11.2016.

None present for the petitioner. However, by way of indulgence this matter is taken up as it pertains to life and liberty of petitioner.

- 1. Deferred.
- 2. True translation of the annexure(s) to be filed on or before the next date of hearing.
- 3. The petitioner is claiming that private respondents No.2 to 5 are causing harassment to him and his family members. It is also stated that the petitioner has apprehension that he may be involved falsely in a murder case of Mst. Darkhshan.

Issue notices to the respondents as well as A.A.G for 17.11.2016. In the meantime, respondents are directed to conduct themselves in accordance with law and desist from causing harassment to petitioner.

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1533 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For orders on office objection

2. For Katcha Peshi.

03.11.2016.

Mr. Noor Ahmed alias Rabbani, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Sher Muhammad Laghari, State Counsel.

-.-.-.

Counsel for petitioner today submits that after filing of this petition,

private respondents have mended their ways and have stopped causing

harassment to the petitioner and not forcing them to work for private respondents

at their brick kiln. In this regard, official respondents have filed their

reply/written statement and respondent No.2 SSP Hyderabad as well as

respondent No.3 concerned SHO P.S Pabban have stated, inter alia, that police

will provide the petitioner requisite protection whenever they are approached

with such a request.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of in view of the above observation

and with directions that private respondents should desist from causing

harassment to the petitioner and official respondents being police officials will

provide protection to the petitioner in accordance with law whenever they are

approached with such a request.

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1737 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 15433/16
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For orders on M.A 15434/16
- 4. For Katcha Peshi.

03.11.2016.

Mr. Zainuddin Baloch, Advocate, holding brief for Syed Hamad Ali Shah, Advocate for petitioner.

-.-.-

By way of indulgence this matter is taken up as it pertains to life and liberty of petitioner.

- 1. Granted.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4. It is, inter alia, contended that respondents are causing harassment to the petitioner in relation to a matrimonial dispute between him and his ex-wife Mst. Rukhsana.

Issue notices to the respondents as well as A.A.G for 18.11.2016. Notices to the private respondents be issued through all modes except publication. In the meantime, respondents are restrained from causing any harassment to the petitioner and the official respondents should conduct themselves in accordance with law.

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD C.P No.S- 1764 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 15509/16
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For orders on M.A 15510/16
- 4. For Katcha Peshi.

03.11.2016.

Barrister Muhammad Azmatullah Channa, Advocate for petitioner.

-.-.-.

- 1. Granted.
- 2. Deferred.
- 3. True translation of the annexure(s) to be filed on or before the next date of hearing.
- 4. It is, inter alia, contended that petitioner belongs to Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf Party and due to such affiliation official respondents/police officials at the behest of influential persons of the area namely Mansoor Ahmed Kori, U.C Chairman, and Asif Khanzada, Vice-Chairman, have raided the house of petitioner. It is further stated that on 22.10.2016 at about 01:00 a.m. in the night police again raided the house of petitioner and wanted to arrest petitioner's brother Shafi Muhammad but who since was not present, therefore, could not be arrested.

Issue notices to the respondents as well as Mansoor Ahmed Kori and Asif Khanzada for 21.11.2016. Also issue notice to learned A.A.G. In the meantime, police officials are directed to conduct themselves in accordance with law and should desist from causing harassment to the petitioner

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 89 of 2002

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

03.11.2016.

Mr. Ali Abbas Memon, State Counsel.

-.-.-

Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Laghari, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Muhammad Yousuf Laghari, Advocate for applicants, seeks adjournment on the ground that the latter learned Counsel is out of station.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 231 of 2010

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

03.11.2016.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed, Advocate for applicant.

Ms. Shaista Shah, State Counsel for official respondents.

-.-.-.

Learned counsel for applicant is ready to proceed with the matter. However, counsel for respondents' side is reported to be busy before another Bench of this Court.

Rajesh Kumar, Assistant works Manager, Pakistan Railways (C & W)
Workshop Hyderabad is present.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 317 of 2010

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

03.11.2016.

Mr. Naimatullah Soomro, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Ms. Shaista Shah, State Counsel for official respondents.

-.-.-.

Mr. Aqeel Ahmed Siddiqui, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Muhammad Rashid Nizam, Advocate for applicants, seeks adjournment on the ground that the latter learned Counsel is unwell today. Learned Counsel for respondent No.1 has no objection since it is a personal request for adjournment.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 213 of 2014

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

03.11.2016.

Mr. Aqeel Ahmed Siddiqui, Advocate for applicant.

Ms. Shaista Shah, State Counsel.

.-.-.-

Mr. Zainuddin Baloch, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Nisar Ahmed Durrani, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4, requests for adjournment as the latter learned Counsel is busy before learned Division Bench.

Adjourned to 11.11.2016 at 08:30 a.m. Office is directed to list this matter at serial No.1 in the cause list on that day.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 337 of 2014

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For Katcha Peshi.

03.11.2016.

None is present for petitioner and respondent No.1.

Ms. Shaista Shah, State Counsel for official respondents.

Since today is 3rd November, therefore, in the interest of justice, matter is adjourned to 18.11.2016 at 08:30 a.m. Office is directed to list this matter at serial No.1 in the cause list of that day.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 218 of 2010

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For Katcha Peshi.
- 2. For hearing of C.M.A730/10

03.11.2016.

Mr. Mumtaz Lashari, Advocate for applicant.

Ms. Shaista Shah, State Counsel for official respondents.

-.-.-.

Learned counsel for applicant states that parties are about to compromise the matter outside the Court, whereafter he will not pres this Revision Application.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE