

*ORDER SHEET*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,  
HYDERABAD.

**C. P. No. D — 714 of 2016.**

---

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
<u>30.01.2017.</u>	

---

FOR ORDERS ON OFFICE OBJECTIONS.  
FOR KATCHA PESHI.

Mr. Parvaiz Tariq Tagar, Advocate for petitioner.

Syed Shafique Ahmed Shah, Advocate for respondents 6 to 8.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.G. Sindh.

-----

Through the instant Constitution Petition petitioner Javed s/o Shahnawaz has prayed for the following reliefs:-

- a). That this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.4 and 5 not to cause any kind of harassment to the petitioner his brothers and other Family members at the instance of respondent No.6 to 8 through themselves, their assignees, subordinates, servants, successors, friends or agent or through any other agency in any manner.
- b). That this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.2 SSP Sanghar to provide protection to the petitioner his brother & their family members enabling them to pass his regular life without any fear, force and compulsion.
- c). That this Honourable Court may be pleased to pass an order to conduct Judicial Inquiry through District & Sessions Judge Sanghar in Crime No.32 of 2016 of Police Station Tando Adam city district Sanghar U/s 395, 342, 337-H(ii), 504, 506(2) PPC.
- d). That, this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct the respondents NO.3, 4 not to register the FIR /

Criminal case against the petitioners and their family members without prior permission of this Honourable Court.

- e). That, this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.2 DIG Shaheed Benazirabad to take necessary department action against SHO P.S. Tando Adam City for misusing his official power and position and committing excess upon petitioner, his brothers and other family members under intimation to this Honourable Court.

Notices were issued against the Respondents as well as A.A.G. Mr. Shafique Ahmed Shah, Advocate file Power on behalf of the respondents No.6 to 8 and undertakes that no harassment whatsoever shall be caused by the private respondents to the petitioner. As regards to the dispute over the agricultural land counsel for the respondents No.6 to 8 states that the same shall be adjudicated by the competent court of law.

Learned A.A.G. submits that he has already filed parawise comments on behalf of official respondents in which they have denied allegation of causing harassment to the petitioner. Learned A.A.G. undertakes that official respondents shall act strictly in accordance with law and would not indulge in any activity contrary to the law and action, if any, shall be taken strictly in accordance with law.

In the view of above, counsel for petitioner express his satisfaction and doesn't press the instant Constitution Petition more and it is accordingly 'disposed of'.

JUDGE

JUDGE

A.