

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Const. Petition No. D - 4387 of 2026

Date	Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)
------	-------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection Nos. 22 & 24
2. For hearing of main case

21.08.2026

Mr. Zahid Hussain Baladi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Syed Manzoor Ali along with Ms. Sadaf, Special Prosecutors NAB
along with Sarwan Khoso, Deputy Direction Investigation NAB.

Mr. Ali Ahmed Zaidi, Addl. A.G. Sindh.

Learned Special Prosecutor NAB submits that respondent Nos.1 to 3 have already submitted their comments on 12.08.2026, wherein NAB/ respondent Nos.1 to 3 have categorically stated that the land in dispute particularly in terms of para 'm' of the notice (available at page 15 of the Court file is not required for any enquiry or reference. As far as the remaining land is concerned, the enquiry is underway, hence in view of the comments submitted by respondent Nos. 1 to 3 purpose of filing this petition has been served, therefore, it may be disposed of. Learned counsel for the petitioner also draws attention of the Court towards the impugned notice dated 03.05.2024 and its para 'm' i.e. "Entry No.161 dated 11.09.2023 pertaining to land measuring 100.00 acres" and submits that in view of the comments submitted by respondent Nos.1 to 3, the petitioner will be satisfied if the petition may be disposed of in the light of said terms. Learned DAG has no objection. Before parting with the order, it will be appropriate to reproduce the relevant paras i.e. para3 of preliminary objection and paras 3 to 5 on merits from the comments submitted by respondent Nos. 1 to 3, which reads as under:

"PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

3. That, the only action taken by the answering respondents was the issuance of a Call-up Notice under Section 19 of the National Accountability Ordinance, 1999 requiring the concerned department to furnish relevant record and information for the purposes of an inquiry. Such notice is purely investigative in nature and does not operate as an order of attachment, freezing or restraint against any property.

ON MERITS

3. That, upon scrutiny of the record and material collected during the inquiry, it was determined that the property claimed by the petitioner was not the subject matter of the said inquiry. Consequently, no proceedings for attachment, freezing or restraint were initiated against the said property.

4. That, the answering respondents have neither attached nor frozen the petitioner's property, nor has any order of attachment, freezing or restraint ever been issued in respect thereof.

5. That, the answering respondents have not issued any communication or direction under Section 23 of the National Accountability Ordinance, 1999 to any department prohibiting mutation, transfer or any other lawful revenue proceedings concerning the petitioner's property."

Accordingly, in view of the above, this petition is hereby disposed of. The respondents shall not harass the petitioner and shall act in accordance with law.

**JUDGE
HEAD OF CONST. BENCHES**

JUDGE

Azeem