

Order Sheet
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Crl.Bail Appl. No.1213 of 2026
(Adnan v. the State)

Date	Order With Signature Of Judge
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of bail application

Date of hearing: 17.06.2026
Date of Order: 30.06.2026

Mr. Farhan Khaliq Anwar, advocate for the applicant
Mr. Qamaruddin Nohri, Deputy Prosecutor General
P.I./SHO Sohail Khaskheli, PS Surjani town and P.I Shakeel Ahmed

Omar Sial, J. Applicant Adnan seeks post arrest bail in Crime No.216/2025 registered by PS Surjani under Section 365-B, 375-A, PPC readwith 2/3 of TIP. Earlier bail application moved on behalf of the applicant was dismissed vide order dated 18.03.2026 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-X, Karachi West.

2. The facts as set out in FIR are that the complainant is a married, employed woman (parents deceased). Her younger sister, Komal d/o Muhammad Zafar (aged 20), resides with her. Imdad @ Dawood s/o Ali Sher was previously engaged to Komal, but the engagement was called off by their family. Complainant stated that on 16-02-2025, between 12:00 PM and 1:00 PM, while my husband and I were at work, the accused—Dawood s/o Ali Sher, Zawar s/o Umeed Ali, Selman s/o Ali Sher, Adnan s/o Ali Sher, and Fahad s/o Zawar—forcibly entered my home, kidnapped my sister, and took her away with the intention of committing Zina.

3. I have listened to the applicant's counsel and the learned Deputy Prosecutor General. My observations and findings are as follows.

4. The facts of the case strongly suggest that Komal eloped with Imdad. Imdad had earlier sent a proposal to Komal's family that was refused. The story narrated by Komal in her section 164 Cr.P.C. is unnatural and upon a tentative assessment is unbelievable. The initial medical report does not support the allegations. The investigating officer noted that subsequently on one pretext or the other, Komal declined to be medically examined. The complainant and the entire family have also vanished and despite the best efforts of the investigating officer could not be located. Adnan, the applicant, is said to be one of five people said to have raped Komal. Not a shred of evidence exists to support this allegation. The statement recorded by Komal, upon a tentative view, is not trustworthy or confidence inspiring. The case against Adnan is one of further enquiry. He is admitted to post-arrest bail against a surety of Rs. 100,000 and a PR Bond for the same amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

JUDGE