

Order Sheet

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI**

**Crl.Bail Application No.1104 of 2026**  
(*Abdul Rauf v. the State*)

---

Date	Order With Signature Of Judges
------	--------------------------------

---

For hearing of bail application

Date of hearing: 23.06.2026

Date of order: 30.06.2026

Mr. Mehmood A. Qureshi, Advocate for the Applicant

Mr. Muhammad Iqbal Awan, Additional Prosecutor General  
Sindh

Mr. Abdul Samad Memon, Advocate for the Complainant

-----

**Omar Sial, J.** Abdul Masoor, on 07.11.2025, reported that he has been employed at a *tandoor* shop at Ashraf Chowk for approximately 14 to 15 months. On 07 November 2025, while present at his shop between approximately 4:15 PM and 4:30 PM, he noticed two cats fighting on the platform of a vegetable shop situated across the lane, directly opposite his workplace. In an attempt to shoo them away, he threw a stone in their direction. Following this, Raja Abdul Rauf, aged approximately 60 to 65 years, began verbally abusing him and threatened to kill him. Upon the complainant's resistance, Abdul Rauf departed from the scene. However, between approximately 6:00 PM and 6:15 PM, Abdul Rauf returned accompanied by his three sons — Muneeb, Ameer, and Qadeer — and resumed quarrelling with the complainant. At this point, the complainant's nephew, Wali Ullah, also arrived at the scene. The four individuals proceeded to assault Wali Ullah. When the complainant attempted to intervene and stop the attack, they turned on him as well. During the assault, one of the accused, Qadeer, produced a firearm and initially fired a shot into the air. He then directed gunfire at the complainant with apparent intent to kill. As a result of the shooting, the complainant sustained six gunshot wounds: one bullet to the right arm, one to the left arm, one to the left side (armpit area), two bullets

to the right leg, and one bullet to the left leg. Due to the severity of his injuries, the complainant collapsed to the ground. He was subsequently transported to Dow Hospital in a private vehicle by his cousin, Hikmat Ullah, where the present statement was recorded by the police officer. F.I.R. No. 485 of 2025 under sections 324 and 34 P.P.C. was registered at the Malir Cantt police station.

2. I have listened to the learned counsels for the applicant, the complainant, and the learned Additional Prosecutor General.

3. It is an admitted position that all the shots fired were by the applicant, Mian Abdul Rauf's son, Abdul Qadeer. The learned counsel for the complainant submitted that the initial quarrel was between the complainant and Mian Abdul Rauf and that it was he who then brought his sons to fight. This conduct, the counsel says, was sufficient to burden him with the vicarious liability for the doings of his son. With much respect and humility, I do not agree with the learned counsel. He is correct that, according to the allegations, it was Rauf and the complainant who fought. It is also correct that, according to the allegation, Rauf brought his sons to the scene. Yet, that is not sufficient to show that Rauf had instigated his son Qadeer to open fire and injure the complainant. It is also equally possible that an over-exuberant son opened fire when Waleeullah intervened in the fight. Whether Rauf can be held vicariously liable for the acts of his son Qadeer will have to be decided after evidence is led at trial, making the case against Rauf one of further inquiry.

4. Mian Abdul Rauf is admitted to bail against a surety of Rs. 200,000 and a P.R. Bond for the same amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial court. As an additional condition of bail, Mian Abdul Rauf will not directly or indirectly contact the complainant or his family till the conclusion of the trial. Upon evidence being provided to the trial court that this condition has been breached, the learned trial court, itself will be empowered to cancel the bail granted herein.

**JUDGE**