

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH
CIRCUIT COURT MIRPURKHAS

Criminal Misc. Application No. S-08 of 2026

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For order on office objections.
2. For hearing of main case.

13.01.2026

Mr. Sikander Ali Kolachi advocate for the applicant a/w applicant.

Mr. Dhani Bakhsh Mari, Assistant P.G a/w SIP Pitamber Kumar, SHO P.S Nagarparkar.

=

Mr. Mehboob Ali Kapri and Mr. Dilip Kumar Kolhi advocates files *vakalatnama* on behalf of the respondents No.4 and 9 while Mr. Shah Nawaz Kunbhar advocate files *vakalatnama* on behalf of the respondent No.6, which are taken on record. Police report has also been filed by the concerned S.H.O, which is taken on record; it reflects that father of the alleged detenu is absconder in F.I.R No. 64/ 2025 of P.S Nagarparkar for an offence under sections 427, 337-F(vi), 337-F(v), 337-A(ii), 504, 506 P.P.C. Learned A.P.G points out that earlier Criminal Miscellaneous Application No.732/ 2025 was also filed by the applicant before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Tharparkar @ Mithi, which was rejected on the ground that the alleged detenu was not recovered. He also pointed out the portion of said earlier Order wherein it was recorded that "*In the interest of justice, one more chance was given to the applicant to point out the place where detenu is allegedly confined/ detained, however, she failed to appear before police and did not cooperate with them to recover the detenu*". Not only police report reflects such position but the applicant, present in person in Court, also admits that she accompanied with the police and pointed out the residences of the respondents No.3 to 10; however, alleged detenu was not present there.

Looking to such position, learned counsel for the applicant does not press this application for the time being and states that applicant will avail her remedies in accordance with law.

In view of above, instant Criminal Miscellaneous application is dismissed as not pressed.

JUDGE

“Saleem”