IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.D- 2211 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For orders on MA 8778/2026.
2. For orders on office objection.
3. For orders on MA 8779/2026.
4. For hearing of main case.

03.11.2026.

Mr. Muhammad Ali, Advocate for petitioner.

=

1. Urgency granted.

2. Deferred for the time being.

3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

4&5. It is contended by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioner participated in the subject tender and he was subsequently disqualified. He thereafter, preferred a Complaint before the Complaint Redressal Committee in Rule 31 of the Sindh Public Procurement Rules, 2010, who failed to decide the matter within stipulated period of seven days and subsequently the matter was by operation of law transferred to the Review Committee under Rule 31(5) of the Sindh Public Procurement Rules, 2010 which is reproduced hereunder:-

> ***"(5) The complaint redressal committee shall announce its decision within seven days and intimate the same to the bidder and the Authority within three working days. If the committee fails to arrive at the decision within seven days, the complaint shall stand transferred to the Review Committee which shall dispose of the complaint in accordance with the procedure laid down in rule 32. [if the aggrieved bidder files the review appeal within ten (10) days of such transfer]."***

He has further contended that the Review Committee ruled in favour of the petitioner and unanimously declared the procurement to be mis-procurement under Rule 32. He has contended that despite the fact that the Review Committee has declared the procurement as mis-procurement, the procuring agency has went ahead and awarded the work order to the successful bidder.

Matter requires consideration. Issue notice to the respondents as well as learned A.A.G for a date to be fixed by office after one week.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Tufail