ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Constitutional Petition No. D – 5006 of 2025
(Muhammad Saddiq versus Province of Sindh and others)

Date	Order with signature of Judge

Before:
Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon
Mr. Justice Muhammad Jaffer Raza

Date of hearing and order: 01.10.2026

Mr. Ali Asadullah Bullo, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Zohaib Zulfiqar Sarki, A.A.G.

ORDER

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J. Petitioner Muhammad Saddiq has filed this Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking the following relief: -

> *"a. Declare the act of Respondents regarding non-convening of meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee for the posts of Assistant Directors BS-17 as illegal and violative of Recruitment Rules.*
>
> *b. Direct the Respondents to convene the meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee for the posts of Assistant Directors BS-17 and consider the case of the Petitioner in accordance with law and grant effect from the date his juniors were promoted along with consequential benefits.*
>
> *c. Restrain the Respondents from taking any coercive action against the Petitioner in terms of his employment.*

2. The Petitioner's case for promotion from Superintendent (BS-17) to Assistant Director (BS-17) was considered by the DPC on 27.06.2025 but deferred for want of essential documents, including ACRs and no-dues/no-enquiry certificates, with directions to furnish the same within two months. One post of Assistant Director (BS-17) was reserved for the Petitioner pending completion of the record and re-assessment of his eligibility. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that appropriate directions may be given for re-consideration of his case for promotion.

3. Learned Assistant Advocate General (A.A.G.), submits that the case of the Petitioner for promotion from Superintendent (BS-17) to Assistant Director (BS-17) was duly placed before the Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) in its meeting held on 27.06.2025. However, due to non-availability of essential documents, including ACRs and no-dues/no-enquiry certificates, the DPC deferred consideration of the Petitioner's case and directed that the requisite record be furnished within two months for proper evaluation. He further submits that one post of Assistant Director (BS-17) has been kept reserved for the

Petitioner and, upon completion of the required record, his case shall be reconsidered strictly in accordance with law and the applicable Recruitment Rules. The learned A.A.G. also states that the competent authority shall convene the DPC and decide the Petitioner's claim expeditiously.

4. When confronted the position to the counsel for the Petitioner, he agreed with proposition so put forward by the learned A.A.G.

5. Without touching the merits of the case, the petition is accordingly disposed of, with two months' time granted for convening the DPC for reconsideration of the case of the Petitioner subject to eligibility and seniority of the Petitioner for promotion in accordance with law.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Nadeem Qureshi *PA*