IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR.

Crl. Bail Application No.S-290 of 2026.

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

Applicant:	Pir Jan S/OAli Bux Bugti **Through Mr. Rukhsar Ahmed Junejo Advocate**
Complainant:	Imran Ali S/O Muhammad Moosa Marri Through Mr. J.K.Jarwar Advcoate.
The State:	**Through Mr.Mansoor Ahmed Shaikh, D.PG.**

Date of hearing & order: **11.11.2026**.

Suresh Kumar J:- Through instant Criminal Bail Application applicant/accused Imam Dino Shar seeks post arrest bail in Crime No.63 /2025 for the Offence punishable U/S 365-B PPC registered at Police station Kot lalo District Khairpur..

2. The facts of the prosecution, in a nutshell, are that the complainant, Imran Ali, stated that on 18.12.2025, at 11:00 PM, the applicant/accused, along with the nominated accused, duly armed, entered his house and forcibly dragged his wife, Mst. Slama Khatoon, and Mst. Naseem, taking them away in a white Alto car on 19.12.2025 in the presence of witnesses. Thereafter, the complainant appeared at the police station on 20.12.2025 and lodged the FIR.

3. The applicant/accused initially approached before the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Khairpur, for grant of post-arrest bail which was dismissed vide order dated 23.02.2026. Being aggrieved by this dismissal order, the applicant/accused has approached this Court.

4. I have heard learned counsel for applicant/accused, learned D.P.G Sindh assisted by learned counsel for complainant and perused the material available on record. Learned D.P.G and learned counsel for complainant

have strongly has conceded the argument advanced by learned counsel for applicant/accused.

5. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and having perused the material available on record, it is revealed from the perusal of the FIR that the applicant/accused allegedly abducted Mst. Salma and Mst. Naseem on 18.12.2025; however, the FIR was registered after a delay of two days. The record further reveals that Mst. Salma appeared before the investigating officer and recorded her statement under Section 161 Cr.P.C., in which she stated that she was neither abducted along with her sister nor was any such incident, as mentioned in the FIR, taken place. She further stated that she had solemnized marriage with one Khair Muhammad Bugti of her own free will. Moreover, the learned counsel for the applicant has filed a statement along with a free will affidavit of Mst. Salma, a Nikahnama, and applications under Sections 22-A and 22-B Cr.P.C., wherein she has narrated the same facts, asserting that she and her sister were not abducted by the accused. Therefore, the case against the applicant/accused falls within the ambit of further inquiry. The record further reveals that the investigating officer has recommended the disposal of the present FIR under cancellation 'C' class. Furthermore, the applicant/accused has already been remanded to judicial custody and is no longer required for further investigation.

6. For the foregoing reasons, I am of the considered view that the applicant/accused has made out his case for grant of bail after arrest. Consequently he is admitted to bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000(Fifty thousands) and PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

J U D G E