ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P.No.D- 2277 of 2026

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For orders on MA 9038/2026.
2. For orders on office objection.
3. For orders on MA 9039/2026.
4. For orders on MA 9040/2026.
5. For hearing of main case.

04.11.2026.

Mr. Taimoor Ali, Advocate for petitioner.

=

1. Granted.

2. Deferred for the time being.

3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

4&5. Through this petition, the petitioner has challenged the suspension notification No.2294-IR-I/2026 dated 19.10.2026 issued against the petitioner by respondent No.5.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, a BS-20 officer serving as Commissioner-IR (Zone-I), RTO Hyderabad, has challenged the suspension notification dated 19.10.2026, whereby he was placed under suspension for 120 days under Rule 5(1) of the Civil Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2020. He contends that the petitioner, being the elected Senior Vice President of the Inland Revenue Service Officers Association (IRSOA), has been selectively victimized for his association with the Association's efforts to raise concerns regarding the proposed FBR restructuring. He submits that the proposed strike was formally withdrawn on 17.10.2026 following assurances by the FBR authorities, and all officers performed their duties as usual; nevertheless, the petitioner alone was suspended without any charge-sheet, show-cause notice or pending disciplinary proceedings. He further contends that the impugned notification is non-speaking, without reasons or justification, and reflects mala fides, arbitrariness and discriminatory treatment, particularly when the petitioner had no authority under the Association's Constitution to issue press statements.

He also challenges the direction requiring him to attend the Islamabad office thrice daily and maintain a time diary, terming it humiliating and contrary to his dignity. He, therefore, seeks setting aside of the suspension notification and restoration to his position with all consequential service benefits.

Whether the impugned suspension notification dated 19.10.2026, issued under Rule 5(1) of the Civil Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2020, without disclosing any specific reasons, allegations or pending disciplinary proceedings, and allegedly in the backdrop of the petitioner's representative activities as an elected office-bearer of IRSOA, is lawful, justified and free from mala fide exercise of authority?

Issue notice to the respondents as well as learned D.A.G. for 18.11.2026; meanwhile, the operation of the impugned notification shall remain in abeyance. The petitioner shall be permitted to continue performing his official duties until the next date of hearing.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Tufail