

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR.
Crl. Bail Application No.S-716 of 2026.

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

Applicant: Dildar S/O Yaseen by caste Umrani
Through Mr.Shahzad Ali Utero Advocate.

The State: Through Mr. Muhammad Raza Katohar, D.PG.

Date of hearing & order: 22.10.2026.

Suresh Kumar J:- Through instant Criminal Bail Application, the applicant/accused Dildar Umrani, seeks post arrest bail in Crime No.15/2026, offences U/Ss 376, 511 PPC registered at Police station Laghari District Naushahro Feroze.

2. Concisely, the facts of the prosecution, as depicted in the FIR, are that the complainant, Zulfiquar Ali, lodged the FIR on 04.07.2026, stating that on 02.07.2026, his daughter, Ayat Fatima, went out of the house to purchase milk. Thereafter, he heard cries from his daughter, prompting the complainant and witnesses to go to the location, where they saw the applicant/accused attempting to commit zina with her. Upon seeing them, the accused ran away. Subsequently, the complainant appeared at the police station, where he lodged the FIR two days after the incident."

3. The applicant/accused initially approached before the Court of Sessions Judge, Khairpur, for grant of pre-arrest bail which was dismissed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge (GBV), Moro, vide order dated 20.07.2026. Being aggrieved by this dismissal order, the applicant/accused has approached this Court.

4. I have heard learned counsel for applicant, and learned D.P.G Sindh. Learned D.P.G, opposed the grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

5. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by the parties' counsel and having perused the material available on record, it is

revealed from the perusal of the FIR that there is a delay of two days in lodging the FIR, which has not been plausibly explained by the complainant. Therefore, due deliberation and consultation cannot be ruled out. The non-availability of a medical certificate, the allegation of an attempt, coupled with the unexplained delay in lodging the FIR, casts doubt on the involvement of the applicant/accused, hence the case against applicant/accused calls for further inquiry. Moreover, the complainant, Zulfiquar Ali Kalhoro, is present and has filed an affidavit stating that he has no objection to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused, as he has settled the dispute outside of court."

6. For the reasons stated above, I am of the considered view that the applicant/accused has made out a case for the grant of bail on the grounds of further inquiry and no objection raised by the complainant. Therefore, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail after arrest upon furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty thousand) and a PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

7. Needless to say that the above observations are tentative in nature and will not prejudice the case of either party at the time of trial.

JUDGE

Hizbullah/PA.

