

Order Sheet
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD
R.A. No.S-25 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For orders on office objections.
For hearing of CMA No.416/2026
For hearing of main case.

18-05-2026

Mr. Wazir Hussain Chandio, advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Zubair Khan Kalhoro, adovcate for the respondent.

JAWAD AKBAR SARWANA, J:- The applicant/defendant, Asad Ali Jatoi, has impugned the order dated 29.01.2026 passed by the learned Additional District Judge-VI, Hyderabad, in Summary Suit No.187 of 2025, whereby conditional leave to defend was granted in the summary suit. Counsel for the applicant/defendant is aggrieved by the said order on the ground that there is no relationship between the applicant/defendant and the respondent/plaintiff. He contended that he is a stranger; that there is no agreement between him and the plaintiff; and that no cheque was ever transacted in any manner between the applicant/defendant and the respondent/plaintiff. Meanwhile, counsel for the respondent/plaintiff has entered appearance and placed before this Bench a copy of the bounced cheque along with the memo. The said two documents were exhibited with the plaint in the summary suit but were not attached with this Revision Application with the copy of the plaint.

Heard counsel and perused the record. In this case, the learned Additional District Judge-VI, Hyderabad, has passed the impugned order after considering all the points raised by the applicant/defendant before this Bench. Clearly, this matter cannot be decided summarily and, by applying his discretion, the learned Judge has granted conditional leave to defend based on the defence taken by

the applicant. I do not find any arbitrariness in the impugned order, and the points raised by the applicant as recorded by me hereinabove are all triable issues of the suit. Therefore, this Revision Application is dismissed for the above reasons.

J U D G E

Irfan Ali