

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

Criminal Misc. Application No.S-174 of 2026

Applicant: Mst. Rasheeda d/o Late Qadir Bux Chahwan
(present in person).

Respondents: 1. Parvez Ali alias Parvez
2. Barkat Ali alias Barkat both sons of Muhammad Uris alias Uris Chahwan (present in person).

State: Mr. Aitbar Ali Bullo, Deputy Prosecutor General,
Sindh.

Date of hearing: 10.08.2026

Date of Order: 10.08.2026

ORDER

RIAZAT ALI SAHAR, J.-. Through this Criminal Misc. Application, applicant/complainant has impugned Order dated 31.01.2025, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Dadu, whereby accused Pervaiz Ali alias Pervaiz and Barkat Ali alias Barkat both sons of Muhammad Uris alias Uris Chahwan have been granted bail in Crime No.07/2025 for offence under sections 354, 506/2, 114, 504, 34 P.P.C. registered at Police Station Sita Road.

2. The applicant/complainant submits that accused are nominated in the F.I.R. with specific role; they are still issuing threats and they were not entitled for the concession of bail hence she has filed instant application for cancellation of bail.

3. Heard and perused. Perusal of the F.I.R. reveals that all the offences alleged therein are bailable, except the offence under Section 506(ii), P.P.C., which, *prima facie*, does not appear to be consistent with the prosecution story and, therefore, calls for further inquiry. The inclusion of the said provision also appears to reflect mala fide on the part of the prosecution. Furthermore, the impugned order dated 31.01.2025, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Dadu, reflects that the respondents/accused have not misused the concession of pre-arrest bail and have been regularly facing the trial.

4. It is pertinent to observe that the grounds for grant of bail and those for cancellation of bail are entirely different. The applicant/complainant has failed to establish any valid ground warranting recall or cancellation of the order passed by the learned trial Court, whereby the respondents/accused were granted pre-arrest bail. The allegations against the respondents/accused, particularly regarding the issuance of threats, are not supported by any independent or corroborative evidence. In the circumstances, no ground for interference with the impugned order is made out.

5. From what has been discussed above, it transpires that the order passed by the learned Trial Court is on sound reasons and there seems no illegality or infirmity in the same. Result thereof, instant Criminal Miscellaneous Application is dismissed having no merits.

JUDGE

Manzoor