

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR**  
**Constitution Petition No. D- 791 of 2025**  
(Mst. Sanam Khatoon Vs P.O Sindh & others)

---

**Before;-**

Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon  
Mr. Justice Abdul Hamid Bhurgri

**Date of hearing and order 17.06.2026**

None present for the Petitioners.

Mr. Ghulam Abbas Kubar, Assistant Advocate General Sindh  
Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Jatoi, Additional PG for the State, along with  
SIP Azam Hussain Makrani, Investigating Officer of PS  
Shaheed Murtaza Mirani, Khairpur Mirs

>>>>..<<<<<

**ORDE**

**Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J;-** The petitioners, Mst. Sanam Khatoon and Abdul Shakoor filed a constitutional petition seeking quashment of FIR No.122/2025 registered under Section 365-B PPC at Police Station Shaheed Murtaza Mirani, Khairpur, protection from harassment by the complainant party, and restraint against submission of challan during the pendency of the petition.

2. The petitioners in their pleadings narrated that petitioner No.1 had contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 of her own free will and that the FIR had been falsely lodged by her paternal aunt, Mst. Farzana, due to opposition to the marriage. They further alleged threats to their lives and sought legal protection.

3. In response to the Court's notice, the Investigating Officer submitted a report stating that the FIR had been registered on the complaint of Mst. Farzana is alleging the abduction of her niece for marriage. The investigation was conducted, statements of witnesses were recorded, and both interim and final challans were submitted against the absconding accused persons. However, petitioner No.1 had not appeared before the Investigating Officer for recording her statement.

4. This court notes that petitioner No.1 had already filed a supporting affidavit, and her statement had been recorded before

this Court on 27.05.2025. Therefore, judicial propriety demands that the Investigating Officer be directed to record her fresh statement if need be and place it before the competent Magistrate for appropriate orders; and if petitioner No.1 was/is not traceable, the Investigating Officer is directed to submit an independent report before the trial Court while taking into consideration the earlier order of this Court dated 27.05.2025, wherein her ordeal was recorded.

5. Consequently, the petition is disposed of along with the pending application. Meanwhile, this Court directs that petitioners shall not be arrested in connection with FIR No.122/2025; and they shall be protected from the private respondents in accordance with law.

Let a copy of this order be communicated to the SSP concerned for compliance.

J U D G E

J U D G E