

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Constitution Petition No. D- 1999 of 2025
(Mst. Najma Khatoon vs. P.O Sindh & others)

Before;

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J;
Hamid Ali Bhurgari, J;

Date of Hearing & Order: 18.06.2026.

None present for the petitioner.

Mr. Zulifqar Ali Naich, Assistant Advocate General, Sindh.

ORDER

Adnan-ul- Karim Memon, J; Petitioner Mst. Najma Khatoon has filed this Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking payment of the remaining service benefits, including gratuity, commutation, G.P. Fund, group insurance, and other dues of her deceased husband, Late Abdullah Jamali, who served as a Chowkidar in the Education Department and died during service on 06.04.2021.

2. Learned Assistant Advocate General, relying upon the compliance report submitted by the Additional District Accounts Officer-I, Khairpur, submitted that all admissible dues have already been paid to the petitioner. He added that despite the adjournment of the matter, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner to controvert the said position; as such, his plea may be accepted, except for the group insurance amount, which needs to be paid to her as per her entitlement under the law.

3. We have heard the learned Assistant Advocate General and perused the record with his statement as well as the compliance report of the Additional District Accounts Officer-I, Khairpur.

4. It appears that, according to the official record, all admissible service dues of the deceased employee, Late Abdullah Jamali, have already been paid to the petitioner, except the disputed component of group insurance.

5. Since the matter pertains to post-retirement/ service benefits, which are governed by statutory rules and financial regulations, the entitlement of the petitioner cannot be denied where the same is duly admissible under law. At the same time, this Court cannot assume the role of the departmental authority in determining factual calculation of payable amounts, which lies within the competence of the relevant accounts and administrative authorities.

6. In view of the clear stance of the department that admissible dues stand paid, and there being no rebuttal from the petitioner's side, no further adjudication is required by this Court. However, it is clarified that if any remaining amount, particularly group insurance or any other admissible benefit, is found payable upon verification in accordance with law and service rules, the competent authority shall release the same without unnecessary delay.

7. For what has been discussed above, the Constitutional Petition is disposed of in the above terms along with pending application(s), if any.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Irfan

