

65

ORDER SHEET

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANO

I-Cr. Bail Appln. No.S-452 of 2017

I-Cr. Bail Appln. No.S-404 of 2017

Date	Order with signature of Judge
	1. For order on office objection. 2. For hearing of bail application.
<u>18-12-2017</u>	

Mr. Imdad Ali Tunio, advocate for the applicant in Cr. Bail. Appln. No.S-404/2017.

Mr. Ali Nawaz Ghangro, advocate for the applicant in Cr. Bail. Appln. No.S-452/2017.

Mr. Saeed Ahmed B. Bijarani, advocate for the complainant.

Ms. Rubina Dhamrah, A.D.P.P for the State.

.....

In compliance of earlier order, trial court has furnished its report to the effect that charge against accused has been framed on 27.09.2017 and on 28.11.2017 complainant Shahal Khan and P.W Shah Murad were present but their statements could not be recorded due to absence of learned counsel for the accused

M/s Ali Nawaz Ghangro and Imdad Ali Tunio, both learned counsel for the applicants submit that their clients will be satisfied if these bail applications be disposed of by directing the trial court to conclude the trial within shortest possible time. Learned counsel for the complainant and learned A.D.P.P for the State have no objection.

Accordingly both bail applications are disposed of. Trial court is directed to conclude the trial preferable within one month time and in case the prosecution may fail to procure its witnesses, the applicants are at liberty to file/repeat their bail applications before the trial court, provided no adjournment has been sought on behalf of applicants/accused.

Judge



Abdul Sattar