

21

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Crl. B.A No. S- 100 of 2017

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	1.For orders on office objection 'A'
	2.For orders on M.A No.958/2017 (U/A)
	3.For orders on M.A No.959/2017 (E/A)
	4.For Hearing.

20.3.2017

Mr. Zahid Hussain Thaheem, Advocate for the applicant along with applicant.  
Mr. Sardar Ali Shah, APG for the State.

-----

1. Office objection overruled.
2. Urgency application granted.
3. Exemption granted subject to all just exceptions.
4. Mr. Zahid Hussain Thaheem, learned counsel for the applicant who is present along with applicant states that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the case and he had no knowledge about pendency of this case. He further submits that co-accused Kazim and others who were facing trial in Sessions Case No.348/2002 re. State v. Kazim and others before 1<sup>st</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Shikarpur have been dispensed with from their further appearance due to non appearance of complainant party and the trial Court had kept the case in abeyance and adjourned it sine die by his order dated 04.5.2009. Certified copy of order is available in the file at page No.11. He submits that as and when he learnt about pendency of the instant case he attempted to approach the trial Court but police concerned have cordoned off all the ways leading toward Court, therefore, he is unable to appear and surrender before the Court concerned. Therefore, by means of instant application, he seeks protection.

Learned APG present in other cases waives notice and recorded no objection.

Without touching merits and demerits of the case, applicant is granted protective bail for a period of seven days subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court. This order shall cease to have its effect upon expiry of seven days or on early date when the applicant surrenders himself before the concerned Court.

Judge