

# **HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS**

## **C.P. No.D-260 of 2025**

[Pahar Singh & Ors. vs. Province of Sindh & Ors]

## **C.P. No.D-263 of 2025**

[Lal Chand & Ors. vs. Province of Sindh & Ors]

## **C.P. No.D-267 of 2025**

[Poonam & Ors. vs. Province of Sindh & Ors]

## **C.P. No.D-270 of 2025**

[Muhammad Aftab Ahmed. vs. Province of Sindh & Ors]

## **C.P. No.D-272 of 2025**

[Muhammad Waris & Ors. vs. Province of Sindh & Ors]

## **C.P. No.D-295 of 2025**

[Kashif vs. Province of Sindh & Ors]

## **C.P. No.D-554 of 2025**

[Shahnawaz vs. Province of Sindh & Ors]

Before:

**JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON**  
**JUSTICE RIAZAT ALI SAHAR**

M/s Jeelooji Rajput, Bhooro Bheel, Satram Das, Mir Muhammad Nohri, Muhammad Asif, Qalander Bux Leghari and Kelash, advocates for petitioners

Mr. Muhammad Sharif Solangi, Assistant A.G. Sindh

Date of hearing & decision: 12.11.2025

**ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J:-** The Petitioners pray that this Court to:

- a) Declare the final list dated 27.03.2025 as illegal, void, and without lawful authority.
- b) Direct the Respondents to prepare a fresh merit list and issue appointment orders to the Petitioners if found qualified on merit.
- c) Grant any other relief deemed just and proper under the circumstances.

2. That the Petitioners, being aggrieved by the final recruitment list for the post of Police Constable (BPS-07) issued on 27.03.2025 by the DIGP Establishment, Sindh Police, Home Department, have filed this petition. It is urged that the respondents have unlawfully declared the Petitioners unsuccessful, despite their obtaining higher marks in both the written and physical tests, in violation of their fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 4, 9, and 25 of the Constitution of Pakistan. They submitted that the Respondents invited applications through an advertisement dated 23.04.2024 for the posts of Police Constable, Driver Constable, and Lady Constable in the districts Tharparkar,

Umerkot, and Mirpurkhas. As per the Petitioners, they fulfilled the required criteria, participated in the recruitment process. The physical tests were conducted between 23.06.2024 and 25.06.2024, and after clearing them, the Petitioners appeared in the written test held on 08.09.2024, securing 58 to 89 marks, and were called for interviews. However, in the final list, Petitioners were declared "Below Merit" despite securing higher aggregate scores. The submitted that Petitioner No.1 obtained 83 marks (written) and 35 marks (interview), totaling 118, whereas one Ghulam Murtaza (Seat No.255587), who secured only 47 written marks, was declared successful. The Petitioners contend that the final results were manipulated in the office of the City Chief Police Officer (CCPO) to accommodate favored candidates ("blue-eyed"). A letter dated 04.04.2025 from the DIGP Mirpurkhas Range directed issuance of offer letters to selected candidates after completing formalities. They submitted that no candidate from minority communities of District Tharparkar, despite forming 50% of the population and obtaining higher marks, has been selected. This shows discrimination and violation of merit principles. The Respondents' actions are illegal, arbitrary, and without lawful authority, constituting a violation of the Petitioners' fundamental rights.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that petitioners have successfully passed the requisite tests and interview; however, no any candidate from minorities in terms of percentage set-forth by the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan from district Tharparkar and despite obtaining highest marks in the physical as well as written and the candidates who obtained lowest marks then the petitioners have been declared successful in the impugned list. They prayed to allow the petitioners by declaring them as successful candidates. However, the petitioners in CPD No. D- 263 of 2025 claim that they be appointed on minority quota in terms of Article 36 of the Constitution; however, during proceedings some of the candidates who appeared on a quota reserved for minorities submit that they have been considered. At this stage the petitioner in CP No. D- 270 of 2025 submit that there exclusion from the general seats and his denial of selection on the subject post is illegal and liable to be declared null and void and they be considered on merit. However, the stance of the petitioners in CP No. D- 272 of 2025 is that they were successful candidates for the position; however, their exclusion in the final list dated 27.3.2025 is illegal and they be included as successful candidates at Mirpurkhas region. Petitioner in CP No. D- 299 of 2025 submits the same stance on the premise that he obtained 94 marks in the written test 15 marks out of which were awarded due to him being son of police employee vide advertisement dated 22.3.2024 and was called for interview but was declared unsuccessful. The stance of petitioner in CP No. d- 554 of 2025 is that he was declared successful candidate as police constable; howevr, his name has not been included in the list being qualified for interview by securing 79

marks out of 100 marks in the written test. In support of their contentions, all the petitioners have relied upon the cases mentioned below:-

-----

Learned A.A.G. without filing comments has submitted that the petitioners have not been declared successful candidates in the final interview call. So far as the minority quota is concerned the same has been maintained in terms of revised Sindh Police Recruitment Policy 2022 as such no further indulgence of this court is required in the matter. He further submitted that it is well settled in the policy that the final result will be the sum of total of the marks obtained in the written test and interview as well as additional marks allocated to Sindh Police employees sons / daughters; however, the final result showing the marks obtained in each of the attributes along with total out of 150 marks in respect of all candidates who appeared for the interview shall be uploaded on the official website of the selected testing service within a week after completion of interviews. He further submitted that all the codal formalities have been fulfilled and there is no violation of this policy as such these Petitions are liable to be dismissed.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with their assistance and the case law cited at the bar.

JUDGE

JUDGE