

HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

CP No. D- 3524 of 2017

[Abid Iqbal v. EDO Tando Muhammad Khan & Others]

BEFORE:

**MR. JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON
MR. JUSTICE RIAZAT ALI SAHAR**

Petitioner present in person

Mr. Rafiq Ahmed Dahri, Asstt: A.G., along with Muhammad Yaqoob Vighamal DEO (P) Tando Muhammad Khan, Sheraz Ahmed Patoli Assistant Education Officer (P) Tando Muhammad Khan.

Date of hearing:
& Decision: 18.12.2025

O R D E R

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON, J - The Petitioner had moved an application to this court through post which was converted into Constitutional Petition and notices were issued to EDO (P) Tando Muhammad Khan.

2. The petitioner who is present in person submits that he was appointed as Naib Qasid in the Education Department, Government of Sindh on 15.11.2012, joined his duties, and a salary account was opened in the National Bank of Pakistan. Although his pay bills were prepared, however, his salary was never paid, prompting him to approach this Court through the present application.

3. In response, on 17.12.2015, the DEO (Primary), Tando Muhammad Khan filed a written statement stating that, according to the TEO (Male) Primary, Tando Ghulam Hyder, the petitioner never joined duty nor served in the defunct office of ADO E.E. (Male). It was further alleged that the salary release letter dated 11.02.2013 was forged and fictitious, as an explanation notice had already been issued on 04.03.2013, and the existence of two letters with the same outward number but different dates indicates fabrication. On these grounds, dismissal of the petition has been prayed for.

4. Without touching the merits of the case, we deem it appropriate to direct Secretary, Education Department, to examine the matter regarding the petitioner's appointment and subsequent salary issue. If it is found that the appointment of the petitioner was / is genuine based on joining report dated 15.11.2012 by the Assistant

District Officer Education (Male), Tando Ghulam Hyder, he shall be allowed to perform his duties forthwith with releasing of his salaries of intervening period. If, however, the appointment is found otherwise, a speaking order shall be passed after providing the petitioner an opportunity of hearing within 60 days from the date of receipt of this order.

5. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of in the above terms. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Karar_Hussain/PS*