

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR
Criminal Bail Application No.S-227 of 2026

Date	Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)
------	-------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For hearing of Bail Application.

26.03.2026

Mr. Riaz Ali Shaikh, Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, DPG

Nisar Ahmed Bhanbharo, J: Through this bail application, applicant Gulsher Ali Kalhoro seeks bail in Crime No.06/2026, registered at P.S. Kandiaro, for an offence punishable under Sections 452, 364, 120-B, 506/2, 504, 147, 148, 149 PPC. His bail was declined by the trial Court vide order dated 25.02.2026.

2. Per prosecution story, applicant alleged to have abducted his own wife and thereafter on her return to her parental house, trespassed into the house of mother-in-law caused pistol blows to the Complainant Mst. Sakeena and his wife Mst. Sheena and he again took her away.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant/ accused submits that there is inordinate delay of three months in registration of FIR for which no plausible explanation has been furnished by the prosecution; that the allegation of abduction as alleged in the FIR against the husband is to be substantiated through evidence during trial; that the case of present applicant/accused calls for further inquiry in view of Section 497 Cr.P.C.

4. Learned DPG submits that per contents of FIR, the Complainant and the alleged abductee were injured but no medical certificate is available on record. He, however, concedes for grant of bail.

5. Heard arguments and minutely perused the material available on record.

6. Admittedly, there is delay of three months in registration of FIR for which no plausible explanation has been furnished. The applicant was alleged to have abducted his own wife, then the applicability of Sections 364 and 452 Cr.P.C is yet to be determined by recording evidence. The Complainant party is alleged to sustain injuries but did not approach the concerned hospital. The

allegation against the applicant rests solely upon the statement of the alleged abductee, Mst. Sheena, who is the wife of the present applicant. It is yet to be determined, through cogent evidence, whether the allegation of abduction can be substantiated against the husband. In these circumstances, the case of the applicant squarely calls for further inquiry at this stage. The applicant has made out a case for the grant of bail, consequently, applicant is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand only) and PR Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. Accordingly and in view of above, instant bail application stands allowed.

JUDGE

Faisal Mumtaz/PS