

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

**Cr. Bail App. No. S – 573 of 2025**

*(Ghulam Nabi Lodro v. The State)*

Date of hearing : **25.08.2025**

Date of decision : **25.08.2025**

Mr. Illahi Bux Jamali, Advocate along with applicant (on bail).  
Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, Deputy Prosecutor General.

## **ORDER**

**Mahmood A. Khan, J.** – Through the instant application, the applicant requires pre-arrest bail in connection with FIR No.78 of 2024, registered at Police Station Mithiani, District Naushahro Feroze, under Section 379, PPC. The applicant had earlier approached the learned Sessions Judge, Naushahro Feroze, for similar relief by filing an application bearing A.B.A. No.2557 of 2024, which was dismissed vide order dated 30.10.2024.

2. The complainant, Nazimuddin, is present in response to the notice ordered on the last date of hearing. He relies upon the learned Deputy Prosecutor General to proceed with the bail application.

3. In the present matter, the applicant is a nominated accused in an incident of stealing of solar equipment and water motor, along with firing.

4. Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the incident was reported after a delay of five (05) months, and that no material has been recovered, whereas, the nomination of the present applicant in the matter has been made without any support.

5. Learned Deputy Prosecutor General, however, contends that the incident was duly supported by a *faisla*, and that the present applicant has been nominated. However, it is stated that no recovery has been made.

6. Having heard the learned Counsel and gone through the record, apparently, the question of recovery can hardly be considered as no arrest has been made, and without arrest of accused normally the recovery is not available. Apart from the same, the material in respect of the present applicant except the allegations made and oral evidence, nothing much is found available. As such, a case of further enquiry, being found available, the present applicant is required to be entertained for entertainment of bail.

7. However, considering the complainant's contention that the present applicant is involved in the matter, the bail earlier granted in the sum of Rs.30,000/- (*Rupees thirty thousand*) is enhanced to the sum of Rs.50,000/- (*Rupees fifty thousand*), and accordingly, the bail application is granted in the other terms, as already allowed on 08.07.2025.

The bail application stands **disposed of** in the above terms.

J U D G E

Abdul Basit