ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Const. Petition No.S-103 of 2019

Date

Order with signature of Judge

- 1. For orders on CMA No.2137/2019
- 2. For orders on CMA No.2138/2019
- 3. For hearing of main case

15-04-2019

Mr. Yameen Ali Khoso, Advocate for the petitioner

.-.-.-. -.-.-.-.-.-.-.-

Through instant petition, the petitioner seeks protection against the harassment allegedly been caused by private respondents.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner put on notice to satisfy the court as to how this petition is maintainable when at the first instance petitioner failed to approach the concerned SHO and SSP.

Learned counsel states that the petitioner approached to the concerned SHO but he refused to redress his grievances. However, nothing has been brought on record to show that the petitioner before maintaining this constitution petition had approached to concerned SHO or SSP.

The remedy of constitution petition is available to any aggrieved person provided when no alternate efficacious remedy is available to him. In the instant case remedy is available to petitioner to approach to the concerned police against the alleged harassment, hence this petition being devoid of any legal merits, is dismissed in limine along with listed applications, leaving the petitioner to approach to the concerned SHO/SSP for redressal of his grievance. In case the petitioner does so, his application shall be entertained and decided by the police officer strictly in accordance with law.

JUDGE