

Order Sheet
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
BENCH AT SUKKUR

Const. Petition No.D-1461 of 2025

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge.
-----------------	--------------------------------

Hearing of Case
1.For orders on o/objections
2.For hearing of main case

10-11-2025

Mr. Abdul Qadir Khanzada, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Abdul Mujeeb Shaikh, Advocate for respondents-SEPCO.
Mr. Naveed Ahmed Shah, D.A.G.
Respondents No.6&7 are present.

Through this petition, the petitioner has approached this Court against the SEPCO authorities, alleging that they are causing undue harassment to him.

On notice, the respondents appeared and contested the petition by taking the stance that certain outstanding dues are against the petitioner and that they have not caused any sort of harassment. The petitioner, however, has denied the alleged outstanding dues as well as the installation of any meter on his premises.

In order to resolve the above controversy, this Court, vide order dated 20.10.2025, appointed the Civil Judge and Judicial Magistrate, Mehrabpur, as Commissioner to visit the site in the presence of the petitioner as well as officials of SEPCO to ascertain the availability of any meter at the premises of the petitioner. The report of the Commissioner has been submitted through the learned District and Sessions Judge, Naushahro Feroze, which reflects that three meters were installed at the premises of the petitioner, out of which two meters in the names of Hasina Begum and Muhammad Sharif were

physically present and installed, though both were disconnected due to arrears, while the third meter in the name of Nawab Ali had been removed following permanent disconnection.

After going through the material available on record and the report submitted by the Civil Judge and Judicial Magistrate, Mehrabpur, we are of the view that the petitioner has approached this Court with unclean hands, as there exists a factual controversy in this matter which cannot be resolved under the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court. Accordingly, this petition is **dismissed**. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the proper forum for redressal of his grievance, if so advised.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ahmad