ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI Cr. B.A. No.2920 of 2025

(Ibrahim Rasheed vs. The State)

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro Mr. Justice Syed Fiaz-ul-Hassan Shah

For hearing of bail application

Date of hearing

a order

23.12.2025

Mr. Rehman Ali Khan, advocate for applicant

Mr. Ali Haider Salim, Addl: PG Sindh

ORDER

Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J:- Applicant is seeking post arrest bail in a case bearing Crime No. 629/2025, u/s 9(i)3(c) the Sindh Control of Narcotics Substances Act, 2024, registered at Police Station Shahrah-e-Noor Jehan, by means of this application.

- 2. Applicant, along with another co-accused was arrested whereas third accused escaped, by a police team of Police Station Shahra-e-Noor Jehan on 02.09.2025 from a Street, Block-R, North Nazimabad Karachi, and from them different quantities of charas were recovered. From applicant 2460 grams of charas was recovered. Hence, this case.
- 3. Learned defence counsel submits that the quantity of the recovered contraband is small and that there is no eyewitness to the alleged recovery.
- 4. Learned Additional Prosecutor General Sindh has opposed bail application and submits that the offence falls within prohibitory clause u/s 497, Cr.P.C, and that video recording in terms of the relevant provisions has also been carried out.
- 5. Record *prima facie* shows that a huge quantity of charas, weighing 5,000 grams of charas in total was recovered from the applicant and co-accused. Applicant is said to be police official that makes his case more stringent in terms of bail concession. Video recording of incident also has been carried out, and the incident was witnessed by the members of police team. More so, the offence falls within the prohibitory clause u/s 497(1) Cr.PC. Applicant, therefore, is not entitled to concession of bail, and the bail application is dismissed. However, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude it within a period of six months.

Bail application stands disposed of in the above terms. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rafiq/PA.