

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
C.P. No.S-190 of 2020.

|                       |                                       |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| DATE<br>OF<br>HEARING | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|

1. For orders on office objection 'A'
2. For Hearing of main case.

**24.07.2020.**

Mr. Abdul Rehman Bhutto, advocate for the petitioners along with petitioners.

Mr. Nazeer Ahmed Chachar, advocate for the respondents No.6 to 9.

Mr. Aitbar Ali Bullo, D.P.G along with ASI Mehboob Ali Sheikh.

- - - - -

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that due to marriage of petitioner No.2 with petitioner No.1, the family members of petitioner No.2 have got annoyed and the respondent No.6, namely, Makhno, who is father of petitioner No.2 has lodged false FIR bearing Crime No.75/2020 at Police Station New Pind Sukkur for offence under section 365-B, PPC against the petitioner No.1 and his family members, showing abduction of petitioner No.2 and due to registration of the said FIR, the concerned police is harassing the petitioners and trying to arrest them.

Today petitioner No.2, Mst. Sabiha, who is present in person, states that being *sui juris* she has contracted marriage with petitioner No.1 Abdul Majeed on 18.06.2020 out of her free-will and consent and without any coercion, compulsion or inducement. She has also been examined by the I.O/ASI Mehboob Ali Shaikh and her statement was recorded in which she has categorically been stated that she has exercised her right of freewill and entered in Nikah with petitioner No.1. She has further stated in her statement that she would prefer to go with her husband instead of her parents. She has further mentioned in her statement that the FIR lodged by her father is nothing but is bundle of lies and the same being false and frivolous may be disposed of; however, at the request of learned counsel for the private respondents an opportunity to respondent No.6, to have an

audience with petitioner No.2, was afforded which continued for about 35 minutes and after an audience statement was recorded by the I.O of the aforesaid crime but even then the petitioner No.2 preferred to go with petitioner No.1. 43

In view of statement of petitioner.2 and the comments filed by the official respondents, the petitioner's counsel has shown his satisfaction and seeks disposal of the case. Order accordingly. The I.O of the Crime No.75/2020 registered at Police Station New Pind Sukkur under section 365-B, PPC is directed to recommend the case before the concerned Magistrate in view of the statement of petitioner No.2.

Judge

24/7.2020