ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Cr. B.A. No.2682 of 2025

(Akhtar vs. The State)

For hearing of bail application

**Date of hearing** 

<u>& order</u> 27.11.2025

Mr. Muhammad Hanif, advocate for applicant

Mr. Ali Haider Salim, Addl: PG Sindh

<u>ORDER</u>

----

Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J:- Applicant is seeking post arrest bail in a case bearing Crime No.396/2025, u/s 6/9(1)(3)(b) CNS (Amendment) Act, 2024,

registered at Police Station Malir City, Karachi by means of this application.

2. Applicant was arrested on 11.06.2025 by a Police Team of Police Station

Malir City, headed by SIP Shoukat Ali, from Bawan Shah Road, near Mai Satti

Mazar, Malir City Karachi, and from him 120 grams of heroin was allegedly

recovered. Hence, he was booked in this case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is violation of Section 17

of the Sindh Control of Narcotics Amendment Act, 2025 i.e. video recording, hence,

the case against the applicant requires further enquiry and he is entitled to bail.

4. His arguments have been opposed by learned Additional Prosecutor General

Sindh on the ground that there are nine cases of identical nature registered against

him, and he is a habitual offender.

5. Record reflects, the applicant is habitual offender, and is found repeatedly

committing similar offence, which is evident from the fact that against him

previously nine cases of identical nature have been registered. Every time, he is

granted bail, he has repeated the offence and sent back to jail. He is not mending his

ways despite chances given. The effect of Section 17 of the Sindh Control of

Narcotics Amendment Act, 2025 is a matter to be evaluated by the trial Court after

recording evidence and requires deeper appreciation. Hence, the applicant is not

entitled to bail, and this bail application is accordingly dismissed. However, the trial

Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude it as soon as possible.

6. Bail application stands disposed of in the above terms. The observations

made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party

at trial.

JUDGE

**JUDGE** 

Rafiq/PA.