

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Cr. Bail App. No. S – 614 of 2025

(Sabir Kalhoro v. The State)

Date of hearing : **04.11.2025**

Date of decision : **04.11.2025**

Mr. Abdul Raheem Mahar, Advocate for applicant.
Syed Sardar Ali Shah Rizvi, Additional Prosecutor General along
with complainant.

ORDER

Mahmood A. Khan, J. – Applicant in the matter seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.84 of 2025, registered at Police Station Babarloi, District Khairpur, under Section 397, PPC, wherein it is alleged that the applicant along with other persons robbed the complainant of his motorcycle by show of weapons.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the incident is reported to be of 30.03.2025, whereas, the FIR was lodged on 30.06.2025, as such there is three (03) months' delay with at best explanation of one (01) week. He further contends that the complainant and the applicant belong to separate community, living at a considerable distance, yet the strange identification on part of the complainant by name, as stated in the FIR, is liable to be considered. He further contends that no recovery has been effected in respect to the applicant nor any co-accused has been arrested.

3. In response to the notices, complainant has effected appearance and requires the learned Additional PG to proceed on his behalf. Learned Additional PG, not finding much room for opposition of the bail, concedes to the same.

4. The present applicant's case, on account of failure of recovery, is found fit to be considered as of further enquiry. Accordingly, the bail

application is **allowed**, and the applicant is **admitted** to **post-arrest bail** subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.30,000/- (*Rupees thirty thousand*) and P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

The bail application stands **disposed of** in the above terms.

J U D G E

Abdul Basit